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program capabilities, input and output characteristics, and example problems. Volume II describes program implementation of Datcom methods., Volume III discusses a separate plot module for Digital Datcom.

The program is written in ANSI Fortran IV. The primary deviations from standard Fortran are Namelist input and certain statements requirer jy the CDC compilers. Core requirements have been minimized by data packing and the use of overlays.

User oriented features of the program include mindinized input requirements, input error analysis, and various options for application flexioflity.
secunaty Clasmpicatiom of Pmis oacerwnem Dere fintored

This report, "The USAF Stability and Control Digital Datcom," describes the computer program that calculates static stability, high lift and control, and dynamic derivative characteristics using the methods contained in Sections 4 through 7 of the USAF Stability and Control Datcom (revised April 1976). The report consists of the following three volumes:

- Volume I,' Users Manual
- Volume II, Inplementation of Datcom Methods
- Volume III, Plot Module

A complete listing of the program is provided as a microfiche supplement.
This work was performed by the McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company, Box 516, St. Louis; MO 63166, under contract number F33615-77-C-3073 with the United States Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, CH. The subject contract was initiated undrr Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Froject 8213 , Task 82190115 on 15 August 1977 and was effectively concluded in November 1978. This report supersedes AFFDL TR-73-23 produced under contract F33615-72-C-1067, which automated Sections 4 and 5 of the USAF Stability and Control Datcom; AFFDL TR-74-68 produced under contract F33615-73-C-3058 which extended the program to include Datcom Sections 6 and 7 and a trim option; and AFFDL-TR-76-45 that incorporated Datcom revisions and user oriented options under contract F33615-75-C-3043. The recent activity generated a plot module, updated methods to incorporate the 1976 Datcom revisions, and provide adifitional user oriented features. These contracts, in total, reflect a systematic approach to Datcon automation which commenced in February 1972. Mr. J. E. Jenkins, AFFDL FGC, was the Air Force Project Engineer for the provious three contracts and Mr. B. F. Niehaus acted in this capacity for the current contract. The authors wish to thank Mr. Niehaus for his assistance, particularly in the areas of computer program formulation, implementation, and verification. A list of the Digital Datcom Principal Investigators and individuals who made gignificant contributions to the development of this program is provided on the following page.

Requests for copies of the computer program should be directed to the Air Force Filght Dynamics Laboratory (FGC). Copies of this report can be obtained from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).
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## SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Digital Datcom calculates static stability, high-lift and control device, and dynamic-derivative characteristics using the methods contained in Sections' 4 through 7 of Datcom. The computer program also offers a trim option that computes control deflections and aerodynamic data for vehicle trim.

Even though the development of Digital Datcom was pursued with the sole objective of translating the Datcom methods into an efficient, useroriented computer program, differences between Datcom and Digital Datcom do exist. Such is the primary subject of this volume, Implementation of Datcom Methods, which contains the program formulation for those methods in variance with Datcom nethods: Program implementation information regardirg system resources necessaly to make the program operational are presented in Sections 5 and 6.

Section 6 also lists each of the rnutines and references their appearance in the program listings provided as a microfiche supplement to this volume.

Users shou: d refer to Datcom for the validity and limitations of methods invoived. However, potential users are fore-warned that Datcom drag methods are not recommended for performance. Where more than one Datcom method exists, the sumary in Table 1 indicates which method or methods are employed in Digital Datcom. Tables 2, 3, and 4 define the basic output data in each Mach regime and shows the overlay in which each is computed.

The computer program is written in Fortran IV for the CDC Cyber 175. Through the use of overlay and data packing techaiques, core requirement is 67,000 octal words for execution with the NOS operating system using the FTN compiler. Central processor time for a case executed on the NOS system depends on the type of configuration, number of flight conditions, and program option selected. Usual requirements are on the order of one to two seconds per Mach number:

Direct ail program inquires to AFFDL FGC, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. Phone (513) 255-43i5.
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATCOM } \\ \text { SECTION } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? } \mathrm{IACH} \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SURROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airfoil Section Aercdynamics | Airfoils | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 .1- \\ & 4.1 .2 \end{aligned}$ | SUBSONIC | NDM | 50 |  | *User input or calculated by the airfoil section module |
| $\mathrm{c}_{0}$ | Wings | 4.1.3.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 15,16 | CALCAO | $\} \begin{aligned} & \text { Experimental data input } \\ & \text { required } \end{aligned}$ |
| $c_{L_{\alpha}}$ | Wings | 4.1.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1 1 1 1 | 15,16 24 27 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WTLIFT } \\ & \text { TRS@NI } \end{aligned}$ | *Transonic fairing perforned |
| $c_{L}$ | Wings | 4.1.3.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,16 \\ 35 \\ 27 \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ | LIFTCF WINGCL SUPLNG | *Graphical Method Used |
| ${ }^{C^{\text {MAX }}}$ | Wings | 4.1.3.4 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 2,3 \\ & 1 \\ & N P \\ & N P \end{aligned}$ | 15,16 | CLMXBS CLMXBI | Method 2 high aspect ratio, Method 3 low |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
*Subject of Section 4 of this volume

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { DATCOM } \\ \text { SECTION } \end{array}$ | MACH REGIME | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\text {m }}$ | Wings | 4.1.4.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NNM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 31,33 | CMALPH | . |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}{ }_{\alpha}$ | Wings | 4.1.4.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 31,33 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 27 \end{gathered}\right.$ | CMALPH TRANCM SUPLNG SUPLNG | * |
| $C_{m}$ | Wings | 4.1.4.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 31,33 | CMALPH | *Straight-tapered low aspect ratio <br> *Compute aerodynamic center |
| $C_{D_{0}}$ | Wi.gs | 4.1.5.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,5 \\ 24 \\ 18 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | CDRAG <br> TRSANI SUPDRG |  |
| $C_{\text {D }}$ | Wings | 4.1.5.2 | SUBSONIC TRAMSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,5 \\ 35 \\ 18 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CDRAG } \\ & \text { WINGCL } \\ & \text { SUPDRG } \\ & \text { SUPDRG } \end{aligned}$ | * |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED *Subject of Section 4 of this volume
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGIIAL DATCOM METHODS

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\
& \text { PARAMETER }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& CONF IGURATION \& DATCOM SECTION \& MACH
REGIME \& METIOD NUMBER \& OVERLAY \& SUBROUTINE \& REMARKS <br>
\hline $C_{L}$ \& Eodies \& 4.2.1.1

$:$ \& SUBSONIC tRANSONIC SUPERSONIC hrpersonic \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6 \\
6 \\
1 \\
26
\end{array}
$$
\] \& BDDYRT BGOYRT SUPBDD HYPBDO \& *Faired between subsonic and supersonic <br>

\hline $C_{L}$. \& Bodies \& 4.2.1.2 \& SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
N M \\
2 \\
3
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
6 \\
19 \\
26
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& | BDOYRT |
| :--- |
| SUPBOD |
| HYPBDD | \& . - <br>

\hline $c_{L}$ \& Body Asymetric \& 4.2.1.3 \& SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC \& $$
\begin{gathered}
2 \\
\text { NDM } \\
\text { NOM } \\
\text { NDH }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 4 \& 8DDOPT \& * <br>

\hline $$
i_{m_{a}}
$$ \& Bodies \& 4.2.2.1 \& SU6SONIC TRANSOMIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6 \\
6 \\
19 \\
25
\end{array}
$$
\] \& BGOYRT 30GYh, SUPBDD HYPBAD \& Faired Between Subscnic and Supersonic <br>

\hline $C_{m}$ \& Bodies \& 4.2.2.2 \& SUBSOMIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \\
\text { NDM } \\
1 \\
1
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6 \\
19 \\
26
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | BDDYRT |
| :--- |
| SUPBDD |
| HYPBDD | \& - <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

NOH-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
.- . Subject of Section 4 of this volume
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CORF IGURATION | DATCOM SCCTION | MACH REGIME | METHCD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUT INE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{0}$, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ ody Asymmetric | 4.2.2.3 | SUBSONIC IRANSONIC SUPERSONIC hYPLRSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 4 | BODOPT | * |
| ${ }^{C} \mathrm{C}_{0}$ | Bodies | 4.2.3.1 | SUOSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 6 \\ 19 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | BODYRT <br> BOUYRT <br> SUPBOD <br> HYPBDD | . |
| $C_{0}$ | Bodies | 4.2.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 6 \\ 19 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | BODYRT <br> B $\quad$ DYRT <br> SUPBDD <br> HYPBDD | Excludes Elliptical Cross Section Excludes Spherically-Blunted Ogive Method |
| $C_{D}=C_{0}$ | Body Asyminetric | - | SUBSONIC IRANISONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NOM <br> NDM | 4 | BПDØPT | * |
| $a_{0}$ | Wing-Body Asymmetric | 4.3.1.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | NDH <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NOM |  | - |  |

NDM-NO - ATTCOM METHOD NOT PRCGRAMMED
$*$ Subject of Section 4 of this volume
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ & \text { PARAMETER } \end{aligned}$ | CONF IGURATION | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATCOM } \\ \text { SECTION } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { RLGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTITE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{L_{a}}$ | Wing-Body | 4.3.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1,2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 25 \\ 20 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | WBLIFT <br> WBTRAN <br> SUPWB <br> SUPWB | Method 1 Low AR, Method 2 Hi AR <br> Uses Supersonic Method 1 |
| $C_{L}$ - | Wing-Body | 4.3.1.3 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 35 \\ 7 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | WBLIFT <br> - WBCLB <br> WELIFT <br> WBLIFT | Linear Slope If No Exper. Data Uses Subsonic Method 1 Uses Subsonic Method 1 |
| ${ }^{C_{L_{\text {MAX }}}}$ | Wing-Body | 4.3.1.4 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \frac{1}{N D M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | WBLIFT SUPWB | $\cdots$ |
| $C_{m_{0}}$ | Wing-Body | 4.3.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSCVIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NOM | - |  |  |
| $C_{m}$ | Wing-Body | 4.3.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 25 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | WBCM <br> TRANCM <br> SUPWB <br> SUPWB | Uses Supersonic Method |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCUM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ & \text { PARAMETER } \end{aligned}$ | CONFIGURATION | DATCOM SEECTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUPABER | OVCRLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{m}$. | Wing-Body | 4.3.2.3 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NOM <br> NDM | 7 | WBCM | See Section 4 for formulatio.i of $\left(X_{\mathrm{ac}} / \mathrm{c}\right)_{W B}$ |
| $C_{m}, C_{m}$ | Wing-Body Asymmetric | 4.3.2.4 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NOM <br> NOM <br> NDM | . |  |  |
| $C_{D}$ | Wing-Body | 4.3.3.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 7,24 \\ 20 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | WBDRAG <br> WBCDL <br> SUPWB <br> SUPWB | Uses Supersonic Method |
| $C_{\text {D }}$ | Wing-Body | 4.3.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 7,24 \\ 20 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | WBDRAG <br> WBCDL <br> SUPWB <br> SUPWB | Uses Supersonic Method |
| $\hat{\partial r} \quad \partial \alpha, q / q_{\infty}$ | Wing Flow Fields | 4.4.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 35 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | DWASH, DYPRLS TRAWBT SDWASH ${ }^{2}$ DPRESR |  |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
*Subject of Section 4 of this volume
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ \text { PARAHETER } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOH } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\partial \varepsilon / \partial a$ Canards | Wing Flow Fields | 4.4.1 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ N D M \\ 3 \\ N D M \end{gathered}$ | 9 21 | DWASH <br> SOWASH |  |
| $c_{L}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wing-8ody- } \\ & \text { Tail } \end{aligned}$ | 4.5.1.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & \text { NOM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 35 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ |  | Method 1 for $b_{w} \gg b H$ Linearized about $C_{L}=0$ Method 2 for Canard Config |
| $C_{L}$ | Wing-BodyTail | 4.5.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 35 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | WBTAIL CLWBT SUPWBT SUPWBT | Excludes Shock Expansion Method Uses Supersonic Method |
| $C_{L_{\text {MAX }}}$ | Wing-Bodyrail | 4.5.1.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & N P \\ & N P \\ & N P \\ & N P \end{aligned}$ | $\cdot$ | - |  |
| $C_{\text {ma }}$ | Wing-BodyTail | 4.5.2.1 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 35 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | WBTAIL TRAWBT SUPWBT SUPWBT | Method 2 for Canard Config Linearized about $C_{h}=0$ Method 2 for Canard Config Uses Supersonic Methods |

NOM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALRODYNAMIC } \\ & \text { PARAMETER } \end{aligned}$ | CONF IGURATION | DATCOM SECTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMRRKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{m}$ | Wing-BodyTail | 4.5.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 10 | WBTAIL | *Extended Datcom Method |
| $c_{D_{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King-Body- } \\ & \text { Tail. } \end{aligned}$ | 4.5.3.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 35 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | WBTAIL, VTDRAG WBTCD $\emptyset$ SUPWBT SUPWBT | Untrimmed <br> Untrimmed <br> Uses Supersonic Method |
| $C_{D}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wing-Body- } \\ & \text { Tail } \end{aligned}$ | 4.5.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 35 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | WBTAII. CDWBT SUPWBT SUPWBT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Same Method All Speeds } \\ & \text { Overlay } 38 \text { for Trim } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left(\Delta C_{L}\right)^{\text {POWER }}$ | A11 | 4.6 .1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 13,30 | PRPWEF, JETPWE | - |
| $\underset{\text { max }}{\left(\Delta C_{L}\right)_{\text {POWER }}}$ | Al1 | 4.6 .2 | SUBSONIC TRARSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | . |  |  |

*Subject of Section 4 of this volume
.9
Table 1. SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\Delta C_{m}\right)_{\text {POWER }}$ | All | 4.6.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1 <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDH | 13;30 | PRPWEF , JETPWE |  |
| $\left(\Delta_{C D}\right)_{\text {POWER }}$ | All | 4.6 .4 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 13,30 | PRPWEF, JETPWE |  |
| $\left(\triangle C_{L}\right)_{\text {GROUND }}$ | All | 4.7.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $1,2$ <br> NDM <br> NOM <br> NDM | 11 | GRDEFF | See Datcom |
| $\left(\Delta C_{L_{\text {MAX }}}\right)_{\text {GROU }}$ | ND All | 4.7 .2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC. | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM |  | - - | $\cdots$ |
| $\left(\triangle C_{m}\right)_{\text {GROUND }}$ | A11 | 4.7 .3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1 <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 11 | GRDEFF | - . |

NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\triangle_{\text {D }}\right)_{\text {GROUND }}$ | All | 4.7.4 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 11 | GRDEFF | - |  |
| $\alpha_{0}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 4.8.1.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | 1 <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 14 | LøARWB |  | - |
| $C^{-}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 4.8.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\stackrel{1}{\text { NDM }}$ <br> NDM <br> NDM | 14 | LOARWB |  |  |
| $C_{A_{0}}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 4.8.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { NOM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 14 | L®ARWB |  |  |
| $C_{A}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 4.8.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 14 | LGARWB |  |  |

NP-NOT PROGKAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYMAMIC <br> PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATCOM. } \\ \text { SECTION } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MACH } \\ \text { REGIME } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METHOD } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{m}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 4.8.3.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {cm }}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 4.8.3.2 | SUBSOMIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 14 | LOARWB |  |
| $c_{Y_{B}}$ | Wings | 5.1.1.1 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 17 23 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBLAT } \\ & \text { SUPLAT } \\ & \text { SUPLAT } \end{aligned}$ | Uses Supersonic Method |
| $c_{Y}{ }^{\circ}$ | Wings | 5.1.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM |  |  |  |
| $C^{\ell_{B}}$ | Wings | 5.1.2.1 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 35 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | subiat <br> WINGCL <br> SUPLAT <br> SUPLAT | Uses Supersonic Method |

NOM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
*Subject of Section 4 of this .olume
12
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ & \text { PARANETER } \end{aligned}$ | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTIO: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MACH } \\ \text { REGIME } \end{gathered}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{l} @ \alpha$ | Wings | 5.1.2.2 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | - |  | See Datcom for details |
| $C_{n_{B}}$ | Wings | 5.1.3.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | SUBLAT <br> SUPLAT <br> SUPLAT | Uses Supersonic Method |
| $C_{n} e^{\circ}$ | Wings | 5.1.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM |  |  |  |
| $C^{\text {Y } B}$ | Wing-Bodies | 5.2.1.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | SUBLAT <br> SUBLAT <br> SUPLAT <br> SUPLAT | Uses Supersonic Method |
| C, $0 \alpha$ | Wing-Bodies | 5.2.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NP <br> NDM |  |  | See Datcom for Details |

NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
NOM-NO DATCOM METHOD
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD -NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
*Subject of Section 4 of this volume

14
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | DATCOM SECTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{Y}{ }^{\circ} \alpha$ | Tail-Bodies | 5.3.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NP <br> NDM |  | - | \}See Datcom for Details |
| $C_{\ell_{B}}$ | Tail-Bodies | 5.3.2.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | SUBLAT <br> SUPLAT <br> SUPLAT |  |
| $C_{\ell}{ }^{@} \alpha^{\circ}$ | Tail-Bodies | 5.3.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NOM |  |  |  |
| $C_{n_{\beta}}$ | Tail-Bodies | 5.3.3.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 23 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | SUBLAT <br> SUPLAT <br> SUPLAT |  |
| $C_{n} @ \alpha$ | Tail-Bodies | 5.3.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM NP NDM |  | - | \} See Datcom for Details |

NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD
Table 1 SUMMAPY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERI AY | -SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K_{H_{B}}^{-}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 5.5.3.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 14 | LOARWB | . |
| $K_{n_{\beta}^{\prime}}$ | Low Aspect Ratio Wings, Wing-Bodies | 5.5.3.2 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1 <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 14 | LQARWB | - |
| ${ }^{C} Y_{B}$ | Wing-BodyTails | 5.6.1.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NOM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 17 23 | SUBLAT SUPLAT | - $\quad$ - |
| $C_{\gamma}{ }^{\circ} \times$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wing-Body- } \\ & \text { Tails } \end{aligned}$ | 5.6.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NP <br> NDM | - |  | \}See Datcom for details |
| $C_{\ell_{-\beta}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wing-Body- } \\ & \text { Tails } \end{aligned}$ | 5.6.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 17 23 | SUBLAT SUPLAT | - |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DAICOM METHODS

| ACRODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONF IGURATIOK | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DAICOM } \\ \text { SECIION } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | MACH REGIME | METIIOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{2} a^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wing-Body- } \\ & \text { Tails } \end{aligned}$ | 5.6.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | : |  |  |
| $C_{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wing-Bodf- } \\ & \text { Tails } \end{aligned}$ | 5.6.3.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NOM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NOM } \end{gathered}$ | 17 23 | SUBLAT SUPLAT |  |
| $C_{n}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Wing-8odyjalls | 5.6.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSOHIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NP <br> NDM |  | - | \} See Datcom for details |
| ${ }_{3}, C_{L_{8}}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | 6.1.1.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { NOM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 36 | LIFTFP | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{e}}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | 6.1.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NOM } \\ \text { NDH } \end{gathered}$ | 36 | LIFTFP | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |

NOM-NO DATCOM METHOD NF-NOT PRC:SRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYMAYIC PARMHETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAICOM } \\ & \text { SECIION } \end{aligned}$ | MACH REGIME | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon_{S_{\max }}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | -.1.1.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 36 | LIFTFP |  |
| $\Delta c_{m}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | 6.1.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 2 | 37, 55 | FLAPCM | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| $C_{\text {c }}$. | Section characteris tics with control devices | 6.1.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 37. 55 | FLAPCM | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| $\begin{aligned} & c_{m} \text { (near } \\ & c_{2_{\max }} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Section Characteristics with control. devices | 6.1.2.3 | SUBSOMIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 37 | FLAPCN $\because$ | - |
| $c_{n_{1}}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | 6.1.3.1 | SUBSOMIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 36 41. | HINGE <br> SSHING |  |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATCOM } \\ \text { SECTION } \end{array}$ | MACH REGIME | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{h_{\delta}}$ | Section characteristics with contrcl.devices | 5.1.3.2 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { HDM } \\ 1 \\ H D M \end{gathered}$ | 36 41 | HINGE SSHING |  |
| $\left(c_{h_{f}}\right)_{\delta_{t}}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | 6.1.3.3 | subsonic transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & \text { NOM } \\ & \text { NOM } \\ & \text { NOM } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left(c_{n_{t}}\right)_{s f}$ | Section characteristics with control devices | 6.1.3.4 | SURSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & \text { NOM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $C_{L}$ | Flapped Planform | 6.1.4.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { NOM } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 36,55 \\ 36 \\ 41 \end{gathered}\right.$ | LIFTFP <br> LIFTFP <br> SSSYM | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| $c_{L_{a}}$ | Flapped Planform | 6.1.4.2 | SUBSONIC transonic SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 41, 55 | SSSYM | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ \text { PARAMETER } \end{gathered}$ | CONF IGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MACH } \\ \text { REGIME } \end{gathered}$ | METHOD <br> NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{L_{\text {MAX }}}$ | Flapped planform | 6.1.4.3 | SUBSONIC <br> tRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NOM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NOM } \end{gathered}$ | 36, 55 | LIFTFP | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| ${ }^{\Delta} C_{m}$ | Flapped planform | 6.1.5.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPCRSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37,55 \\ 37 \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ | FLAFCM <br> FLAPCM <br> SSSYM | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| $C_{m_{a}}$ | Flapped Planform | 6.1.5.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC IYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37,55 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | FLAPCM <br> FLAPCM <br> FLAPCM <br> FLAPCM | Jet Flaps in "JETFP" overlay 55 |
| $c_{h_{a}}$ | Flapped Planform | 6,1.6.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 36 41 | HINGE SSHING |  |
| $C_{h_{\delta}}$ | Flapped Plan form | 6.1.6.2 | SUESONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 36 41 | HINGE <br> SSHING | . |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ & \text { PAKAMETER } \end{aligned}$ | CGNFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | MiCH REGIME | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hypersonic Control Effectiveness | Tail-Bodies | 6.3.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPCRSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NOM <br> NOM <br> 1 | 42 | HYPFLP |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TransverseJet Control Effectiveness | All | 6.3.2 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC <br> SUPERSONIC <br> HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NOM <br> NDM <br> 1 |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 47 | TRANJT |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inertial Controls |  | 6.3 .3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aerodynamically Boosted Tabs | Tabbed Planform | 6.3.4 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SIUPRERSONIC HYPERSONIC |  |  |  | Below Mach 0.9 (See Datcom) |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 36 | CTABS |  |
|  |  |  |  | NOM |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NDM |  |  |  |
| $C_{L_{q}}$ | Wings | 7.1.1.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC |  |  | SUBPAW | - |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 43 | SUBPAW | Uses subsonic method |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 43 | SUPPAW |  |
|  |  |  |  | NDM |  |  |  |

NDM-NO OATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

NOM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED

Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | DATCOM SECTION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD <br> NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{m_{q}}$ | Bodies | 7.2.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1111 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DYNBDD DYNBOD DYNBDD DYNBØD | Uses subsonic method |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ | Bodies | 7.2.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1111 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DYNBDD DYNBDD DYNBDD DYNBDD | Uses subsonic method |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C_{m_{\alpha}}$ | Bodies | 7.2.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1111 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DYNBDD DYNBøD DYNBDD DYNBØD | Uses subsonic nethod |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $c_{L_{q}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.1.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { NOM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DNPAWE DNAPWB DNPAWB | Uses subscnic method |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $c_{m_{q}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 11NDM | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DNPAWB <br> DNPAWB <br> DNPAWB | Uses subsonic method |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| AERODYNAMIC PARMMETER | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | MACH REGIME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { METHOD } \\ & \text { MUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{Y_{p}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 45 45 | SUBRYW SUPRYW | Uses wing method (7.1.2.1) <br> Uses wing method (7.1.2.1) |
| $c_{\ell_{p}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.2.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 45 45 | SUBRYW SUPRYW | Uses wing method (7.1.2.2) Uses wing method (7.1.2.2) |
| $c_{n_{p}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.2.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { HDM } \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 45 45 | SUBRYW SUPRYW | Uses wing method (7.1.2.3) <br> Uses wing method (7.1.2.3) |
| $c_{\gamma_{r}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.3.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NMM } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{c_{e}} \mathbf{r}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 45 | SUBRYW | Uses wing method (7.1, 3.2) |

NOM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DAICOM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AERODYNSMIC } \\ & \text { PAR. }{ }^{\text {METER }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CONFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MACH } \\ & \text { REGIME } \end{aligned}$ | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C^{n_{r}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.3.3 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\cdot 1$ NDM NDM NDM | 45 | SUERYW | Uses wing method (7.1.3.3) |
| $C_{L}{ }_{\dot{\alpha}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.4.1 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DNPAWB } \\ & \text { DNPAWB } \\ & \text { DNPAWB } \end{aligned}$ | Uses subsonic method |
| $C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}$ | Wing-Bodies | 7.3.4.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DNPAWB DNPAWB DNPAWB | Uses subsonic method |
| $c_{L_{q}}$ | Wing-Body: Tails | 7.4.1.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DNPWBT DNPWBT DNPWBT | All use subsonic methods. §Method 2 for canard config. |
| $c_{m_{q}}$ | Wing-BodyTails | 7.4.1.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & 1,2 \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | DNPWBT DNPWBT DNPWBT | (All use subsonic methods. (Method 2 for canard config. |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED
Table 1 SUMMARY OF DIGITAL DATCOM METHODS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AERODYNAMIC } \\ & \text { PARAMETER } \end{aligned}$ | COMFIGURATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATCOM } \\ & \text { SECTION } \end{aligned}$ | MACH REGINE | METHOD NUMBER | OVERLAY | SUBROUTINE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{c_{Y_{p}}}$ | Wing-BodyTails | 7:4.2.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \\ \text { NDM } \end{gathered}$ | 46 | SUBWBT | . |
| $C_{\ell_{p}}$ | Wing-BodyTails | 7.4.2.2 | SUBSONIC <br> TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | 1 <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 46 | SUBWBT | . |
| $c_{n_{p}}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Wing-Body- } \\ \text { Tails } \end{array}\right.$ | 7.4.2.3 | SUḂSOHIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $\begin{aligned} & こ \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \\ & \text { NDM } \end{aligned}$ | 46 | SUBWBT | - |
| ${ }^{c_{Y_{r}}}$ | Wing-BodyTails | 7.4.3.1 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | NP <br> NDM <br> NDM <br> NDM | $\cdot$ | - |  |
| $C^{\ell}{ }_{r}$ | Wing-GodyTails | 7.4.3.2 | SUBSONIC TRANSONIC. SUPERSONIC HYPERSONIC | $1$ <br> NOM <br> NDM <br> NDM | 46 | SUBWBT | - |

NDM-NO DATCOM METHOD NP-NOT PROGRAMMED


|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{v}^{7}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | 9 |  | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |
|  | $5^{-}$ |  | 8 | \％ | 8 | 9 | $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ | 4 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | 8 |  |  |
|  | $\sum_{3}^{4}{ }_{5}$ |  | 8 | \％ | 4 | \％ | 8 | 9 | 8 | \％ | 4 |  |  |
|  | 㟔 ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |  | 8 | 9 | \％ | \％ | $\%$ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | 4 | $\ddagger$ | $\%$ |  |  |
|  | $\frac{3}{\overline{0}}$ |  | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 8 | $\because$ | 9 | 4 |  |  |
|  |  | \％ | \％ | $\Psi$ | 8 | $\pm$ | 8 | \％ | \％ | 9 | ¢ |  |  |
|  | 公 2 | 9 | \％ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\mathscr{8}$ | $\%$ | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | \％ | 9 | $\stackrel{\infty}{1}$ | 9 | 9 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | \％ | 8 | 9 |  |  |
|  | $0^{5}$ | 8 | \％ | 9 | 4 | 8 | \％ | $\%$ | 9 | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | 8 |  |  |
|  | ज | $\pm \infty$ | ミ | ＝ | ＝ | ミ $\pm$ | － | － | ＝ | ＝ | $=$ | $8^{3}$ |  |
|  | 岂 $\underbrace{\sim}$ | $\cdots \infty$ | Г | ＝ | 三 | $\because \pm$ | ニ | ミ | ミ | ニ | $=$ | $8^{3}$ |  |
|  |  | $\rightarrow \infty$ | ＝ | ニ | ニ | $\pm \pm$ | － | $=$ | ＝ | 三 | $=$ | $y^{\frac{3}{3}}$ |  |
|  | St ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | ＋ 0 | m | M | $\infty$ | ミ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | 읃 | 응 | 으＝ |  |  |
|  | 怎 $0^{3}$ | $\cdots$ | 요 | $\pm \infty$ | $\infty$ | ミ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 은 | 을 | 요＝ | S" |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | ＋ 0 | $\bar{m}$ | $m$ | $\infty$ | ミ $\pm$ | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | 읃 | 읃 | 으＝ | 延号 |  |
|  | 立 2 | $\rightarrow \infty$ | 上 | －¢ | $\infty$ | ㅍ | － | $\sim$ | 을 | 으＝ | 으＝ | ¢ ¢ $_{6}$ |  |
|  |  | $\cdots \infty$ | 9 | m | $\infty$ | 三 | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | 읃 | 을 | 으＝ | ¢ $\chi^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
|  | 知 0 | $\rightarrow \infty$ | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\overline{\text { E }}$ | － | － | 을 | 앙 | ㅂㅡㅡㅡㄹ | 乐鱼 | $\cdots$－ |
|  | 3 | $\square \infty$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | $\underset{\sim}{ \pm}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 읃 | 으＝ | 을 | 8 | $\frac{8}{5} 0$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ． | 虽 | ¢ <br> ¢ <br> ＋ <br> ¢ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3 OVERLAYS DEFINING EACH OF THE BASIC TRANSONIC OUTPUT PARAMETERS


[^0]TABLE 4 OVERLAYS DEFINING EACH OF THE BASIC SUPERSONIC-HYPERSONIC OUTPUT PARAMETERS

| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{y}{*} 5^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{0}{3}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\div$ |  | 5 | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{x}{3}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\because$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\overline{3}}{3_{4}^{2}}$ |  | 5 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\because$ | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{n}{E} \dot{E}$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ | 5 | \% | $\mathscr{8}$ | 4 | 9 | $\bullet$ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | 9 |  |  |
| $\underset{\Delta}{\sum}\left[\begin{array}{c} - \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | 18 | $\ddagger$ | \% | 8 | 8 | 9 | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 8 | 9 |  |  |
| ${ }_{*}^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | $\mathfrak{2}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\otimes}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 8 | 9 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 9 | 8 |  |  |
| $0^{\circ}$ | $\mathscr{C}$ | $\%$ | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | 9 | $\bullet$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | 4 | \% | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | -2 | $\mathcal{H}$ | $\sim$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | $\mathcal{N}$ | $\sum^{2}$ |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\mathfrak{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $y^{3}$ |  |
|  | 운 | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{N}$ | $\mathcal{H}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | $\mathscr{\sim}$ | $\mathcal{\sim}$ | ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | ํ. | $\AA$ | $\sim$ | 8 | 8 | $\mathcal{L}$ | . 8 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 8 | $\ddot{\sim}$ | $\chi^{E}$ |  |
|  | O | $\pi$ | $\sim$ | $\mathcal{L}$ | $\mathscr{\sim}$ | L | 8 | $\pm$ | $\mathcal{L}$ | $\otimes$ | ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| S | 요 | $\AA$ | $\approx$ | $\mathcal{L}$ | 8 | \% | 8 | $\Sigma$ | 8 | $\pm$ | 8 |  |
| $\geqq$ | が2 | $\approx$ | $\mathcal{N}$ | $\mathcal{L}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | R | $\mathcal{L}$ | 8 | 2 | $\mathcal{F}$ | $\chi^{2}$ |  |
|  | - \% |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8^{E}$ | $\frac{8}{6}=$ |
| E | ㅇ.* | $\uparrow$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | 8 | 8 | $\mathcal{R}$ | R | 2 | $\mathcal{L}$ | 2 | ك | * |
| 0 | 은 | $\AA$ | N | 8 | ¢ | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8. | $\pm$ | 8 | $\frac{8}{8}=$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Digital Datcom program consists of a MAIN progran, EXECUTIVE subroutines, METHOD subroutines and UTILITY subroutines. The organization and interfaces between these program components are shown ir Figure 1. The MAIN program performs executive functions that control and direct all computations; the EXECUTIVE subroutines perform noncomputational tasks, which include input data mauipulation and selection of output formats; UTILITY subroutines perform standard mathematical computations; and METHOD subroutines implement the Datcom stability methods.


FIGURE 1 OVERLAY PROGRAM STRUCTURE

## EQUATIOAS FOR GEOMETKIC PARAMETERS

One of the main features of the Digital Datcom program is that a minimum of input data are requised. Minimal inputs require the program to caiculate basic geometric parameters required by the Datcom methods. Equations for pertinent geometric parameters are defined in this section. 3.1 PLANFORM PARAMETERS

The nomenclature used in the equations for calculating theoretical and exposed planform areas, taper ratios and aspect ratios'are shown in Figure 2. Equations for these parameters are presented below for a double delta or cranked planform. Straight-tapered planform parameters are obtained by setting $b^{*}{ }_{0} / 2=0.0, C_{b}=C_{t}, A_{0}=1.0$ in the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{b} / 2=b / 2-b_{0}^{*} / 2 \\
& b_{b}^{*} / 2=b^{*} / 2-b_{0}^{*} / 2 \\
& r_{b}^{*}=\left(b_{b}^{*} / 2\right) /\left(b_{b} / 2\right) \\
& \lambda_{I}=C_{b} / C_{r} \\
& C_{F}^{*}=C_{I}\left[\lambda_{I}+\left(I-\lambda_{I}\right) r_{b}^{*}\right] . \\
& \lambda_{I}^{*}=c_{b} / C_{c}^{*} \\
& \lambda_{0}^{*}=c_{t} / c_{b} \\
& \lambda_{\omega}^{*}=\lambda_{I}^{*} \lambda_{0}^{*} \\
& \lambda_{w}-C_{t} / C_{r} \\
& s_{I}^{*}=\left(c_{f}^{*}+c_{b}\right) b_{b}^{*} / 2 \\
& s_{I}=\left(c_{r}+c_{b}\right) b_{b} / 2 \\
& s_{0}^{*}=\left(c_{b}+c_{t}\right) b_{0}^{* / 2} \\
& s_{W}^{\oplus}-s_{I}^{\oplus}+s_{0}^{\oplus}
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 2 PLANFORM NOMENCLATURE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{W}=\left(c_{r}+c_{b}\right) b_{b} / 2+s_{0}^{*} \\
& A_{I}^{*}=4\left(b_{b}^{*} / 2\right)^{2} / s_{I}^{*} \\
& A_{0}^{*}=4\left(\left(_{0}^{*} / 2\right)^{2} / s_{0}^{*}\right. \\
& A_{W}^{*}=4\left(b^{*} / 2\right)^{2} / s_{W}^{*} \\
& A_{W}=4(b / 2)^{2} / s_{W}
\end{aligned}
$$

Datcom methods use correlations that are based on wing sweep angles measured at various chordines. The nomenclature used to calculate sweep angles is presented in Figure 3. Sweep angle equations are presented below for a double delta or cranked wing. To obtain straight taper wing sweep angles set $C_{0}$ and $\Lambda_{n_{0}}=0$ in the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{I}=4\left(1-\lambda *_{P}\right) /\left[A_{I}^{*}\left(1+\lambda_{I}\right)\right] \\
& C_{0}=4\left(1-\lambda *_{0}\right) /\left[A_{0}^{*}\left(1+\lambda *_{0}\right)\right] \\
& A n_{I}=\tan ^{-1}\left[C_{I}(m-n)+\tan A_{I}\right] \\
& A n_{0}=\tan ^{-1}\left[C_{0}(m-n)+\tan A_{0}\right] \\
& \left.\left.\left(A_{n}\right)_{e f f}=\cos ^{-1}\right]\left(S_{I}^{*} \cos A n_{I}+S_{0}^{*} \cos A n_{0}\right) / S^{*}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The nomenclature used to calculate the exposed mean aerodynamic chord (MAC) for a double delta or cranked wing is shown in Figure 4. The parameters necessary to define the lateral and longitudinal location of the exposed MAC are included. Equations to calculate and locate the MAC are presented below: To obtain values for a straight-tapered wing set $C_{0}^{*}=0$, $Y_{0}{ }_{0}=0, S_{0}=0$ in the equations below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{C_{I}^{*}}=2 C_{I}^{*}\left(1+\lambda_{I}^{\star}+\lambda_{I}^{*}\right) / 3\left(1+\lambda_{I}^{\star}\right) \\
& \overline{C_{0}^{*}}=2 C_{b}\left(1+\lambda_{0}^{\star}+\lambda_{0}^{*} 2\right) / 3\left(1+\lambda_{0}^{\star}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 3 SWEEP ANGLE NOMENCLATURE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{C}_{W}^{*}=\left(S_{I}^{*} \vec{C}_{I}^{*}+S_{0}^{*} \bar{C}_{0}^{*}\right) / S^{*} \\
& \bar{Y}_{I}^{*}=\left(b_{b}^{*} / 2\right)\left(1+2 \lambda_{I}^{*}\right) / 3\left(I+\lambda_{I}^{*}\right) \\
& \bar{Y}_{0}^{*}=\left(b_{0}^{*} / 2\right)\left(1+2 \lambda_{0}^{*}\right) / 3\left(1+\lambda_{0}^{*}\right)+b_{b^{\prime}}^{*} 2 \\
& \vec{Y}^{*}=\left(S_{I}^{*} \bar{Y}_{I}^{*}+S_{0}^{*} \bar{Y}_{0}^{*}\right) / S^{*} \\
& X_{r}^{*}=\left[S_{I}^{*} \bar{Y}_{I}^{*} \tan \Lambda o_{I}+S_{0}^{*}\left(b_{b}^{*} / 2 \tan \Lambda o_{I}+\left(\bar{Y}_{0}^{*}-b_{b}^{*} / 2\right) \tan \Lambda o_{0}\right)\right] / S^{*} \\
& \bar{X}^{*}=\bar{C}_{W}^{*} / 2+X_{r}^{*} \\
& \bar{X}_{r}^{*}=\bar{C}_{W}^{*} / 4+X_{r}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

The theoretical or reference mean aerodynamic chord is calculated with nomenclature of Figure 5 as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{C}_{I}=2 C_{r}\left(1+\lambda_{I}+\lambda_{I}^{2}\right) / 3\left(1+\lambda_{I}\right) \\
& \bar{C}_{r}=\left(S_{I} \bar{C}_{I}+S_{0} \bar{C}_{0}\right) / S_{r} \\
& \bar{X}_{r}=\bar{C}_{r} / 4+X_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Special geometric parameters are required to calculate wing pitching moments. The nomenclature used to define these parameters is presented in Figure 6. Equations for these parameters are presented below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{*}=\left(b_{b}^{*} / 2 \tan \Lambda o_{I}+b_{0}^{*} / 2 \tan \Lambda o_{0}\right) / C_{r}^{*} \\
& A_{I}=4\left(b_{b}^{\prime} / 2\right)^{2} / S_{I} \\
& \Delta Y^{\prime}=b_{b}^{*} / 4 \\
& \left(b_{0}^{*} / 2\right)^{\prime}=b_{b}^{*} / 4+b_{0}^{*} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 4 EXPOSED MEAN AERODYNAMIC CHORD NOMENCLATURE


FIGURE 5 THEORETICAL OR REFERENCE MEAN AERODYNAMIC CHORD NOMENCLATURE


FIGURE 6 SPECIAL. WING PITCHING MOMENT GEOMETRY


FIGURE 7 SUPERSONIC NON-STRAIGHT WING PLANFORM ( $\Lambda_{\text {LE }} \ll \Lambda_{\text {LE }}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{b}^{\prime}=C_{t}+\left(b_{0}^{*} / 2\right)^{\prime}\left[\frac{C_{b}-C_{t}}{b_{0}^{*} / 2}\right] \\
& \left(S_{0}^{*}\right)^{\prime}=\left(C_{b}^{\prime}+C_{t}\right)\left(b_{0}^{*} / 2\right)^{\prime} \\
& \left(A_{0}\right)^{\prime}=4\left[\left(b_{0}^{*} / 2\right)^{2}\right]^{\prime} /\left(S_{o}^{*}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \left(\lambda_{0}^{*}\right)^{\prime}=C_{t} / C_{t}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Supersonic nonstraight wing analyses require the wing to be synthesized from basic wing, glove, and trailing edge extension components as shown on Figure 7. When the leading edge outboard sweep angle is greater than the leading edge inboard sweep angle, an additional geometric parameter, $S_{2}$, is required and is shown in Figure 8. Equations for calculating geometric parameters for the various wing components as required by the stability methods are presented below:

All Planforms



FIGURE 8 SUPERSONIC NÓN-STRAIGHT WING PLANFORM ( $\Lambda_{\text {LE }}^{0} \gg \Lambda_{\text {LE }}$ )

Geometric parameters required for horizontal and vertical tail analyses are identical to those for wings. Tail parameters can be calculated by substituting tail geometry for wing geometry in the wing equations. Vertical tail lateral stability, calculations require additional geometry parameters as shown in Figures 9a and 9b., Equations are listed below:

## Stralght Tapered Vertical Ta $\ddagger 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{v}=c_{r}-\left(c_{r}-c_{t}\right)\left(z_{L i}\right) /\left(b_{v} \cdot 2\right) \\
& x=x_{i}+\left(\bar{X}_{R}\right)-x_{v}-z_{H}\left(\operatorname{Tan} A_{L E_{I}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Non-Straight Vertical Tail }}{\text { If } Z_{H}>\frac{b}{2}-\frac{0}{2}}
$$

$$
x=x_{H}+\left(\bar{x}_{R}\right)-x_{v}-\left(\frac{b^{v}}{2}-\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}\right)\left(\operatorname{TAN} \Lambda_{L E_{I}}\right)-\left(z_{H}+\frac{b^{*}}{2}-\frac{b_{0}}{2}\right) \operatorname{TAV} \Lambda_{L E}
$$

$$
c_{v}=c_{t}+\left(c_{b}-c_{t}\right)\left(\frac{b_{v}}{2}-z_{H}\right) /\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)
$$

$$
\text { If } \mathrm{ZH} \leq \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{o}}^{*}}{2}
$$

$$
x=x_{H}+\bar{x}_{R}-x_{V}-z_{H}\left(\operatorname{TAN} \Lambda_{L E_{0}}\right)
$$

$$
c_{v}=c_{r}-\left(c_{r}-c_{b}\right)\left(z_{L_{i}}\right) /\left(\frac{b_{v}}{2}-\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}\right)
$$

For horizontal lifting surface, an equivalent dihedral is defined as follows:

$$
r_{e_{q}} \frac{r_{1}\left(\frac{b_{1}^{*}}{2}\right)+r_{0}\binom{b_{0}^{*}}{2} r_{0}}{\frac{b^{*}}{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { trailing } \\
& \text { edge } \\
& \text { extension } \\
& \underset{e}{b_{k}^{\star}}=2\left(\frac{b^{\star}}{2}-\frac{b^{\star}}{2}\right) \\
& \text { span } \\
& \text { If } \operatorname{LE}_{0}>\operatorname{lic}_{I} \quad S *_{2}=\left[\frac{b^{\star}}{2}-\frac{b_{0}{ }^{*}}{2}\right] \quad\left(\tan \vdots_{L E}\right) \\
& S_{1}=s_{b w}
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 9 (a) STRAIGHT TAPERED VERTICAL TAIL GEOMETRY


FIGURE 9 (b) NON-STRAIGHT TAPERED VERTICAL TAIL GEOMETRY


FIGURE 10 EQUIVALENT DIHEDRAL ANGLE NOMENCLATURE

### 3.2 BODY PARAMETERS

Longitudinal stability analyses for bodias in the supersonic and hypersonic speed regimes require the body to be synthesized in nose, afterbody, and tail segment components as defined in Figure 11. Geometry parameters for the various body sagments analyses are defined below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{B}^{\prime}=\ell^{\ell} N+\ell_{A} \\
& \ell_{B T}=\ell_{B}-\ell_{B}^{\prime} \\
& d_{c y l}=\frac{d_{2}+d_{N}}{2} \\
& S_{p}=2 \int_{0}^{L_{B}} r_{x}(d x) \quad \text { Body planform area } \\
& S_{b}=\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4} \quad \text { Body base area } \\
& x_{c}=\frac{2 \int_{0}^{\ell_{B}} r_{x} x(d x)}{S p} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Distance from nose of body to rentroid of } \\
\text { Planform area }
\end{array} \\
& V_{B}=\int_{0}^{i b} s_{x}(d x) \quad \text { Volume of body } \\
& \text { If } d_{2}>d_{1} \text {, calculate flare angle } \theta_{f}=T A N^{-1}\left[\frac{.5\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right)}{\ell B I}\right] \\
& \text { If } d_{2}<d_{1} \text {, calculate boattail angle } \theta_{B}-\operatorname{TAN}^{-1}\left[\frac{.5\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)}{\ell B T}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 GENERAL SYNTHESIS PARMMETERS

Synthesizing and interference nomenclature for longitudinal and lateral stability calculations are defined in Figure 12. The geometric parameters are presented in equation format below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta X_{w}-(b / 2-b * / 2) \text { IAN } \Lambda O_{I} \cos \left(a_{1}\right)_{w} \\
& \Delta x_{c g}=X_{c g}-\left(X_{w}+\Delta X_{w}\right) \\
& \left(X_{a c}\right)_{w}-\left(X_{a c} / C_{r}^{\star}\right)_{w} C_{r}^{*} ; \text { where }\left(X_{a c} / C_{r}^{\star}\right) \text { is calculated in wing pitching } \\
& \text { mosment oubroutine }
\end{aligned}
$$



POSSIBLE SUPERSONIC AND HYPERSONIC BODY CONFIGURATIONS


NOTES:
mDSE AND TAIL SEGMENTS MAY BE CONICAL (AS SHOWN) OR OGIVAL
DIAMETERS $d_{N}, d_{1}$, AND $d_{2}$ ARE COMPUTED FROM LINEAR NTERPOLATION OF


IMPUTS $x_{i}$ VSR


FIGURE 11 SUPERSONIC AND HYPERSONIC BODY GEOMETRY


FIGURE 12 GENERAL SYNTHESIS NOMENCLATURE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta x_{a c}\right)_{w}=\Delta x_{c g}-\left(X_{a c}\right)_{w} \cos \left(a_{1}\right)_{w} \\
& \Delta X_{H}=(b / 2-b * / 2)_{H} \operatorname{taN} \Lambda o_{I_{H}} \cos \left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{H} \\
& \left(\Delta X_{C g}\right)_{H}=X_{C g}-\left(X_{H}+\Delta X_{H}\right) \\
& z_{H}=z_{H}-\Delta X_{H} \operatorname{TAN}\left(a_{i}\right)_{H} \\
& \left(X_{a c}\right)_{H}=\left(X_{a c} / C_{r}^{\star}\right)_{H} C_{\underset{\sim}{*}}^{\star} \\
& \left(Z_{a c}\right)_{H}=Z_{H}^{*}-\left(X_{a c}\right)_{H} \operatorname{SIN}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{H}-Z_{c g} \\
& \Delta\left(X_{a c}\right)_{H}=\left(\Delta X_{c g}\right)_{H}-\left(X_{a c}\right)_{H} \cos \left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{H} \\
& \left(X_{\bar{C} / 4}\right)_{H}=X_{H}-\left(\bar{X}_{r}\right)_{H} \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{H} \\
& z_{w}^{\prime}=-z_{w}+\left(C_{r} / 4\right) \operatorname{SIN} \alpha_{1} \\
& \ell_{f}=X_{w}+\Delta X_{w}+\left(\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}\right) \operatorname{TAN} \Lambda_{L E}+\left(\frac{b_{b}^{*}}{2}\right) \operatorname{TAN} \Lambda_{L E_{I}}+\frac{c_{t}}{2} \\
& i_{p}=z_{v}-x_{c g}+\left(x_{r}\right)_{w}+\frac{\left(\bar{c}_{r}\right)_{v}}{4} \\
& z_{p}=z_{c g}+\left(\bar{Y}_{R}\right)_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4 DOWNWASH PARAMETERS

Downwash geometric nomenclature is defined in Figure 13. The equations presented below are used primarily in the subsonic speed regime:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{H}^{\prime} & =z_{H}-\bar{x}_{r_{H}} \sin (\alpha 1)_{H}-z_{W}+c_{r_{w}} \sin (\alpha 1)_{w} \\
T_{H} & =x_{H}+\bar{x}_{r_{H}} \cos (\alpha 1)_{H}-\left(x_{W}+c_{r_{w}} \cos (\alpha 1)_{w}\right) \\
\Delta L_{H} & =z_{H}^{\prime} \operatorname{TAN}(\alpha 1)_{W} \\
L_{T} & =L_{H}-\Delta_{L_{H}} \\
\Delta h_{H} & =z_{H}^{\prime} / \cos (\alpha 1)_{W}
\end{aligned}
$$



FIGURE 13 DOWNWASH NOMENCLATURE


FIGURE 13 DOWNWASH NOMENCLATURE (CONCLUDED)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{h_{2}}=L_{T} \operatorname{SIN}(\alpha 1)_{W} \\
& h_{H}=\Delta h_{H_{1}}+\Delta h_{H_{2}} \\
& \ell_{2}=L_{T} \cos (\alpha 1)_{w} \\
& r=\operatorname{ARCTAV}\left(h_{H} / \ell_{2}\right) . \\
& \ell_{3}=\left(c_{r}\right)_{w}-\left(X_{r}\right)_{w} \\
& \text { If } b_{\text {eff }} / 2 \leq\left(b / 2-b_{o}^{* / 2}\right)_{w} \\
& c_{t_{e f f}}=c_{r_{w}}-\frac{c_{r}-c_{b}}{b / 2-b_{o}^{\star / 2}} \quad\left(b_{e f f} / 2\right) \\
& E_{e f f}=\left(b_{e f f} / 2\right) T A N \Lambda o_{I}+c_{t_{e f f}} / 4 \\
& { }^{\ell}{ }_{\text {eff }}=\ell_{2}-\left(E_{e f f}-C_{r_{w}}\right) \\
& \text { If } b_{\text {eff }} / 2>\left(b / 2-b_{o}^{* / 2}\right) \\
& c_{t_{e f f}}=c_{b_{w}}=\frac{c_{b}-c_{t}}{b_{0}^{\star / 2}} \quad\left[b_{e f f} / 2-\left(b / 2-b_{0}^{*} / 2\right)\right] \\
& \left.E_{e f f}=\left(b / 2-b_{o}^{*} /\right]\right)_{W} \operatorname{TAN~} \Lambda 0_{I}+\left[b_{e f f} / 2-\left(b / 2-b_{o}^{* / 2}\right)_{w}\right] \operatorname{TAN~} \Lambda o_{o}+c_{t_{e f f}} / 4 \\
& \ell_{e f f}=\ell_{2}-\left(E_{e f f}-C_{r_{w}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5 POWER EFFECTS PAZMETERS

Geometric parameters required to calculate propeller and jet power effects are defined in Figures 14 through 18. Power effects are only calculated for longitudinal stability results in the subsonic speed regime.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}_{p}=x_{w}+\bar{x}_{T_{w}} \cos \alpha_{i_{w}}-x_{p}^{\prime} \\
& \bar{z}_{w} \quad=z_{w}-\bar{X}_{T_{w}} \operatorname{SiN} \alpha_{i_{w}} \\
& \alpha_{p}^{\prime}=\alpha_{S C H}+\alpha_{i t}+\varepsilon_{u} ? p \\
& Z_{S}=Z_{T}+\bar{x}_{\rho} \text { TAN } \alpha^{\prime} \rho \\
& z_{h_{t}}=z_{h}-z_{T}+\left[\left(x_{h}+\bar{x}_{T_{h}} \cos \alpha_{i_{h}}-x_{p}\right) \operatorname{TAN} \alpha_{i_{T}}\right] \\
& \ell_{h}=\left|x_{h}+\bar{x}_{h_{h}} \cos \alpha_{i_{h}}\right|-\left|x_{w}+\bar{x}_{T_{w}} \cos \alpha_{i_{h}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 14 DEFINITION SKETCH FOR PROPELLER POWER EFFECT CALCULATIONS


$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i} & =c_{i}-\left[\frac{c_{1}-c_{b}}{b / 2-b_{0}^{*} / 2}\right]\left[\frac{b_{i}}{2}\right] \\
\frac{b_{i}^{*}}{2} & =\frac{b_{i}}{2}-\left[\frac{b}{2}-\frac{b^{*}}{2}\right] \\
s_{i}^{*} & =\left[c_{i}^{*}+c_{i_{i}}\right] \frac{b_{i}^{*}}{2} \\
A_{i}^{*} & =\frac{:\left[\frac{b_{i}^{*}}{2}\right]^{2}}{s_{i}^{*}} \\
\lambda_{i} & =\frac{c_{i}}{c_{i}^{*}} \\
\bar{c}_{i}^{*} & =\frac{2 c_{i}^{*}\left(1+\lambda_{i_{i}}^{*}+\lambda_{1}^{*}\right.}{3\left(1+\lambda_{i}^{*}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 15 GEOMETRY FOR DETERMINING IMMERSED WING PARAMETERS

$$
\text { CASE } 2 \quad \frac{b_{1}}{2} \geq\left(\frac{b}{2}-\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}\right)
$$

SINGLE ENGINE


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b_{0_{j}}^{*}}{2}=\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}-\left[\frac{b}{2}-\frac{b_{i}}{2}\right] \\
& \bar{C}_{t}^{*}=\frac{s_{i}^{*} \bar{C}_{i}^{*}+s_{0_{i}}^{*} \bar{C}_{0_{i}}^{*}}{s_{i}^{*}} \\
& c_{i}=c_{b}-\left[\frac{c_{b}-c_{t}}{\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}}\right]\left[\frac{b_{0_{i}}^{*}}{2}\right] \\
& \bar{Y}_{0_{i}}^{*}=\frac{\left[\frac{b_{0}^{*}}{2}\right]_{i}\left[1+2 \lambda_{0_{i}}^{*}\right]}{3\left(1+\lambda_{0_{i}}^{*}\right)+\frac{b_{b}^{*}}{2}} \\
& s_{0_{i}}^{*}=\left(c_{b}+c_{t_{i}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{0_{i}}^{*} \\
2
\end{array}\right] \\
& s_{i}^{*}=s_{i}^{*}+s_{0_{i}}^{*} \\
& \bar{Y}_{i}^{*}=\frac{s_{i}^{*} \bar{Y}_{i}^{*}+s_{0_{i}}^{*} \bar{Y}_{0_{i}}^{*}}{s_{i}^{*}} \\
& \lambda_{0_{i}}=\frac{C_{i}}{C_{i}} \\
& \overline{c_{0 i}^{*}}=\frac{2 c_{b}\left(1+\lambda_{0_{i}}^{*}+\left({\lambda_{0}}_{0_{i}}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{3\left(1+\lambda_{0_{i}}^{*}\right)} \\
& X_{i}^{*}=\frac{S_{1}^{*} \bar{Y}_{1}^{*} \operatorname{TAN} \wedge_{0_{1}}+S_{0_{i}}^{*}\left(\frac{b_{b}^{*}}{2} \operatorname{TAN} \wedge_{0_{1}}+\left(\bar{Y}_{0_{i}}^{*}-\frac{b_{b}^{*}}{2}\right) \operatorname{TAN} \wedge_{0_{0}}\right)}{S_{i}^{*}} \\
& \bar{X}_{i_{i}}=\frac{\bar{C}_{i}^{*}}{4}+X_{i}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 16 GEOMETRY FOR DETERMINING IMMERSED WING PARAMETERS (CONT'D)


FIGURE 17 GEOMETRY FOR DETERMINING IMMERSED WING PARAMETERS (CONCLUDED)

$x_{e}=\frac{x_{H}+\left(\bar{x}_{T_{h}}\right)\left(\cos a_{i_{h}}\right)-x_{e}}{\cos \alpha_{i_{1}}}$
$Z_{j}^{\prime}=\left(x_{H}+\left(\bar{x}_{h_{h}}\right) \cos a_{i_{h}}-x_{e}\right) \sin a_{i_{1}}+\left(z_{i H}-z_{T}\right) \cos a_{i}$
$X_{j}^{\prime}=4.6 R_{j}$
$x_{n}=x_{j}+x_{j}$

FIGURE 18 DEFINITION SKETCH FOR JET POWER CALCULATIONS
3.6 GRUUND EFFECTS PARAMETERS

Ground effects are only calculated for longitudinal stability results in the subsonic speed regime. Lifting surface haights that are required by the Datcom ground effect analyses are defined in Figure 19 and are presented in equation format as follows:

## Equations for Calculating ho. $156 / 2$

IF $r_{i}=0$ AND (b 2$) r_{0} \leqq 0.25(0 / 2)$
IF $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ AND (C. $2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}_{0}}>0.25(0.2)$
IF $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq 0$ AND © $27 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{B}} \leq 0.25(\mathrm{~b} .2)$
$1 F \mathrm{r}_{i} \neq 0$ ANO $\left(\mathrm{b}^{2)}\right)_{r_{e}}>0.25(\mathrm{~b} \cdot 2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{0.750 .2}=M_{0.75 C_{i}}+\Delta \times \operatorname{TAN}\left(a_{i}\right)_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|10 / 2 r_{0}-0.25(2 / 2)\right| \operatorname{TAN} \Gamma_{0}+\Delta x \tan \left(a_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equations for Calculatine $h$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.H=1 / 700_{0} .75_{1}+4.750 .2\right) \\
& \text { IF } r_{i}=0 \text { AMD (b/ } 2 \mathrm{~T}_{0} £ 0.25(\mathrm{co} / 2) \\
& \text { If } r_{i}=0 \text { AMD } \AA / 2 T_{0}>0.25(0 / 2) \\
& \text { IF } \Gamma_{i} \neq 0 \text { and } 0 / 2 Y_{0} \leqq 0.25(0 / 2) \\
& \text { IF } r_{i} \neq 0 \text { ANO } B / 2 r_{0}>0.25(0 / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.H_{0.75 G}=H_{G}+2_{W}-0.75 G_{F} \operatorname{TAN}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)\right)_{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=n_{0.75 C_{1}}+0.50 \mid(0.2)-\left(10 / 2 r_{0} \mid \text { tan } r_{i}+\right. \\
& 0.50\left|\omega / 2 r_{0}-0.25 \pi .21\right| \text { TAN } r_{0}+0.50 \Delta X \text { TAN (ein }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equations for Calculating $H$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IF } r_{i} \neq 0 A M O\left(\bar{y}_{i}\right)=\left|=2-10 / r_{0}\right| \\
& H=\left({ }^{H} \bar{C}_{r} / 4\right) \\
& \text { IF } r_{i} \neq 0 \text { and }\left(\bar{y}_{1} T_{1}\left|-1 / R-1 / 2 r_{0}\right|\right. \\
& N=\left(C_{C_{1}}\right) w+\left|\left(\overline{y_{1}}\right)+0,2 T_{0}-1,2\right| \text { TAM } r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equations for Calculating $H_{H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n_{C_{r}}\right)_{d H}=H_{G}+I_{H}-\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)_{H} \operatorname{TAN}\left(a_{i}\right)_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\mid\left(\bar{y}_{i}\right)+\left(b .2 Y_{O_{O H}}-(b .2)_{H} \mid \operatorname{TaNr}_{O_{H}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Ground effect methods require calculation of a planform parameter, $\Delta x$, in addition to the previously defined ground heights. This parameter is shown in Figure 20.

50. 75 C ,
$=$ HEIGHT OF 3.4 CHORD OF WING ROOT CHORD ABOVE GROUND
$=H_{G}+Z_{W}-0.75 C_{T}$ TAN $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) W$
${ }^{h} C_{r}$ / $=$ HEIGHT OF 1.4 CHORD OF WING ROOT CHORD ABOVE GROUND

ho. $75 \mathrm{~b}^{\prime} 2$ = HEIGHT OF wing AbOVE GROUND AT I/4 CHORD OF WING 75\% SEMLSPAN CHORO
n = AVERAGE hEIGHT ABOVE GROUND OF THE L/4 CHCRD POINT OF WING CHORD AT $75 \%$ SEMI-SPAN AND THE $3 / 4$ CHORD POINT OF THE WING ROOT CHORD.
$=0.50\left(h_{0.75} / 2+h_{0.75} C_{1}\right)$
H = height of l/4 CHORD point of wing mean aerodyamic chord above the ground
$H_{H}=$ HEIGHT OF $1 / 4$ CHORO POINT OF HORIZONTAL TAIL MEAN AEROOYYAMIC CHORD ABOVE THE GROUND
FIGURE 19 GROUND EFFECT WING AND TAIL HEIGHTS

## Straight Tapered Wing

$$
\Delta X=0.75 C_{\mathrm{r}}-0.75(\mathrm{~b} .2) \operatorname{TAN} \wedge^{*} 25
$$

## Cranked or Double Delta Wing

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { IF } b_{0 / 2}^{*}=0.25(\mathrm{~b} / 2) & \Delta X=0.75 c_{\mathrm{T}}-0.75(\mathrm{~b} / 2) \operatorname{TAN} \wedge_{25_{\mathrm{I}}} \\
\text { IF } b_{0 / 2}^{*}>0.25(\mathrm{~b} / 2) & \left.\Delta X=0.75 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{T}}-\operatorname{TAN} \wedge_{25_{0}}\left|b_{0}^{*} / 2-0.25(\mathrm{~b} / 2)\right|-\operatorname{TAN} \wedge_{25} \mid(\mathrm{b} / 2)-b_{0}^{*} / 2\right\}
\end{array}
$$

## Straight Tapered Wing



Cranked or Double Delta Wing


FIGURE 20 GROUND EFFECTS PLANFORM PARAMETER $\Delta x$

## SECTION 4

## INCORPORATION OF METHODS

This section summarizes those methods which were incorporated into Digital Datcom but were not defined in the Datcom Handbook or involve method interpretation. Though some of the mrhods included are not, in, general, standard Datcom methods, they permit greater flexibility in usirg the program, and provide output for some parameters which can be closely approximated or are difficult to obtain experimentally. All of the methods presented in this section are referenced to Table 1 of Section 1 and the Datcom. Methods, or procedures, not outlined in this section follow the Datcom method and users should consult the Latcom for method limitations and formulation. 4.1 AIRFOIL SECTION AERODYNAMICS

This section describes a procedure that can be used to obtain the geometric and aerodynamic section characteristics of virtually any user defined airfoil section. Its incorporation into Digital Datcom frees the user from the labor of calculating those section parameters that were required inputs, yet allow him the flexibility to alter those parameters for which he has data.

The Airfoil Section Module, will accept the following user inputs:

- The airfoil section designation
- Section upper and lower cartesian coordinates
- Section mean line and thickness distribution

By these three methods, many airfoil sections can be described'and the section characteristiss calculated.

Since the Airfoil Section Module (ASRi) use's the Mach and Reynolds number inputs, they must be defined in namelist FLTCON using MACH and RNNUB. However, the ASM uses the unit Reynolds number and by implication treats a section one foot (or meter) in length.

This module brings together the outstanding features of two separate studies. Kinsey and Bowers (AFFDL-TR-71-87) have'written a program that calculates the airfoil coordinates of select NACA designations, then uses the Weber technique to calculate the section aerodynamic characteristics. Nieldifng of McDonnell Aircraft has written a similar program using the Weber method, then incorporates additional methods to refine the theoretical

TABLE 5 AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE ROUTINE DESCRIPTION

| PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE | PURPOSE |
| :---: | :---: |
| M500:2 (OVERLAY 50,0) | MODULE EXECUTIVE PROGRAM |
| INIZ | Initialize IOM |
| SECI | READ USER INPLTS |
| SECO | TRANSFER MODULE OUTPUTS |
| CSLOPE | CALCULATE VARIABLE SLOPE FOR SUPERSONIC AIRFOILS |
| XYCORD | CALCULATE AIRFOIL SECTION FROM USER INPUTS |
| DELY | CALCJLATE DATCOM PARAMETER $\triangle Y$ |
| AIRFOL (OVERLAY (50,1)) | MAIN PROGRAM FOR NACA DESIGNATION INPUTS |
| DECODE | READ USER INPUT NACA DESIGNATION, DECODE |
| COORDA | CALCULATE 4-DIGIT NACA AIRFOIL |
| COORD4M | CALCULATE 4-DIGIT (MODIFIED) NACA AIRFOIL |
| COORO5 | CALCULATE 5-DIGIT NACA AIRFOIL |
| COCRD5M | CALCULATE 5-DIGIT (MODIFIED) NACA AIRFOIL |
| COORDI | CALCULATE 1-SERIES NACA AIRFOIL |
| COORD6 | CALCULATE G-SERIES NACA AIPFOIL |
| CORDSP | CALCULATE SUPERSONIC AIRFOIL COORDINATES |
| SLEa | SIMULTANEDUS LINEAR EQUATION SOLVER |
| Theory (OVERLAY (50,2) | MAIN PROGRAM FOR AIRFOIL AERODYNAMICS |
| 10EAL | CALCULATE SECTION IOEAL AERODYNAMICS |
| SLOPE | CALCULATE LIFT AND MOMENT SLOPES |
| ASmint | NON-LINEAR INTERPOLATION ROUTING |
| MAXCL (OVERLAY (50,3)) | Calculate variabie clmax for section |

PURPOSE
MODULE EXECUTIVE PROGRAM
INITIALIZE IOM
READ USER INPLTS
TRANSFER MODULE OUTPUTS

CALCULATE AIRFOIL SECTION FROM USER INPUTS
Calculate datcom parameter $\Delta Y$

MEAD USER NPUT NACA DESIGMATION DECODE CALCULATE 4-DIGIT NACA AIRFOIL CALCULATE 4-DIGIT (MODIFIED) NACA AIRFOIL CALCULATE 5-DIGIT NACA AIRFOIL CALCULATE 5-DIGIT (MODIFIED) NACA AIRFOIL CALCULATE I-SERIES NACA AIAFOIL CALCULATE SUPERSONIC AIRFOIL COORDINATES SIMULTANEOUS LINEAR EQUATION SOLVER

MAIN PROGRAM FOR AIRFUIL AEROOYNAMICS CALCULATE SECTION IOEAL AERODYNAMICS CALCULATE LIFT AND MOMENT SLOPES

CALCULATE VARIABLE CLMAX FOR SECTION


predictions. A cross of the two procedures (coordinates of NACA airfoils and viscous correction fron Kinsey and Bowers, and the aerodynamic methods of Nieldling) yields a program that generates fairly accurate results.

The module is incorporated into Digital Datcom as Overlay 50 , and includes three secondary overlay programs. The routines use the $10 M$ arrays for data storage so that core size will be kept to a minimum. Table 5 describes each of the 22 module routines and the logic flow of the module is presented in Figurees 21 through 24.

### 4.1.1 Weber's Method

The calculation of the pressure distribution over the surface of an airfoil in an incompressible inviscid flow is accomplished by use of the method of singuiarities. Conformal transformations are used as an intermediate step in deriving the methods for determining the distributions of singularities from which the velocity distributions are calculated. The routine inputs are the airfoil coordinates distributed in any fashion, the angle of attack, and the Mach number. The airfoil shape is defined by curve $f i t t i n g ~ t h e ~ i n p u t ~ c o o r d i n a t e s ~ t o ~ o b t a i n ~ t h e ~ a i r f o i l ~ g e o m e t r y ~ a t ~ t h i r t y-t w o ~$ required points, i.e: :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0.5\left(\cos \theta_{v}+1\right) \\
& \theta_{v}=v \pi / 32 \text { for } 0 \leq v \leq 32
\end{aligned}
$$

The chord line is obtained by joining the leading and trailing edges of the airfoil, where the leading edge is defined, as the forward most point so that all points on the airfoil surface have a positive $x$ coordinate.

The airfoil is placed in a uniform stream $V_{0}$ at an angle of attack relative to the chord line. The velocity $v_{0}$ is resolved into components parallel and normal to the chord line.
$v_{x 0}=v_{0} \cos x$
$V_{20}=V_{0} \sin x$
Combining the results for the parallel and normal flows, the velocity distribution equation for a symmetrical airfoll at angle of attack is $V(x, z)=\frac{v_{0}}{\sqrt{1+(d z / d x)^{2}}}\left\{\cos \alpha\left[1+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z}{d x^{\prime}} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{x-x^{\prime}}\right.\right.$

$$
\left.\pm \sin \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\left[1+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{d z}{d x^{\prime}}-\frac{2 z\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{1-\left(1-2 x^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{d x^{\prime}}{x-x^{\prime}}\right]\right\}
$$



FIGURE 21 AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE - EXECUTIVE ROUTING


FIGURE 22 AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE - NACA DESIGNATION ROUTINE


FIGURE 23 AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE - SECTION AERODYNAMICS ROUTTINE


FIGURE 24 AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE - SECTION MAXIMUM LIFT ROUTINE

In the Weber Nethod certain combinations of the above terms have been redefined as follows:
$S^{(1)}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z}{d x^{\prime}}-\frac{d x^{\prime}}{x-x^{\prime}}$
(Function for Source Distribution in Parallel Flow)
$S^{(2)}(x)=\frac{d z}{d x}$
(Slope of Thickness
Distribution)


These functions are approximated by sums and products of the airfoil ordinates and certain coetficients which are independent of the section shape by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(1)}(x)=\sum_{v=1}^{N-1} s_{v v}^{(1)} z_{v} \quad S^{(2)}(x)=\sum_{v=1}^{N-1} s_{v v}^{(2)} z_{v} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{s}^{(3)}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{v=1}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \mathrm{~s}_{v \nu}^{(3)} z_{v}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{Nv}} \quad(3) \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2 \mathrm{C}}}
$$

The effects of camter on the resulting velocity distribution are obtaired by assuming the camber to be small compared with the chord. This results in the camber effect being accounted for in the parallel flow $V_{x 0}=V_{0} \cos \alpha$ only.

The Vurtex Distribution, $\gamma(X)$, on the chord line which produces a given velocity normal to the chord line and which is zero at the trailing edge is

$$
\frac{\gamma\left(x_{\nu}\right)}{2 V_{x 0}}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} s_{v \nu}{ }^{(4)} z_{s_{v}}=s^{(4)}\left(x_{v}\right) \text { Camber) }_{\text {(Vortex Distribution due to }}
$$

The total velccity $V_{x}(x, 0)$ on the chord line for an airfoil with camber and incidence is

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{x}(x, 0)=v_{0} \cos \alpha & {\left[1+s^{(1)}(x) \pm S^{(4)}(x)\right] } \\
& \pm v_{0} \sin \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\left[1+s^{(3)}(x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with the + sign being for the upper surface and the - sign for the lower surface.

The resulting velocity distribution at the airfoil surface is computed using

$$
S^{(5)}(x)=\frac{d z s(x)}{d x} \quad \text { (Slope of Camber Line) }
$$

where $\frac{V(x)}{V_{0}}=\frac{\cos \alpha\left[1+3^{(1)}(x) \pm s^{(4)}(x)\right] \pm \sin \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\left[i+s^{(3)}(x)\right]}{\sqrt{1+\left[S^{(2)}(x) \pm s^{(5)}(x)\right] 2}}$
which is the complete expression for an arbitrary airfoil at angle of attack in an ideal flow. The $S^{(4)}(X)$ and $S^{(5)}(X)$ terms are cpmputed by approximation. The pressure coefficient is obtained by

$$
\frac{\left\{\cos \alpha\left[1+S^{(1)}(x) \pm s^{(4)}(x)\right] \pm \sin \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\left[1+s^{(3)}(x)\right]\right\}^{2}}{1+\left[S^{(2)}(x) \pm s^{(5)}(x)\right]}
$$

The tern $1+s^{(1)}(x) \pm S^{(4)}(x)$ accounts for the vorticles being put into a flow with velocity $\bar{V}_{0}\left(1+s^{(1)}(x)+s^{(4)}(x)\right)$ instead of $V_{0}$. The term $\left(1+s^{(3)}(x)\right)$ accounts for the differences in the vortex distribution between the thick and thin wing. The term $1 /\left[1+\left\{S^{(2)}(x) \pm\left. S^{(5)}(x)\right|^{2} \mid\right.\right.$ is the correction between velocities on the chord line and on the surface.

### 4.1.2 Compressibility Correction and Integration

The effects of compressibility are account: for in Weber's Method by the application of compressibility factors to the velocity distribution contributions due to thickness and camber, respectively.

$$
\beta=\sqrt{\left(1-n_{0}^{2}\right)} \quad c_{F_{i}}=1-\frac{\left.11+s^{(1)}\right)^{2}}{1+\left(5^{(2)}\right)^{2}}
$$

The velocity distribution in compressible flo is then given by

$$
\left(\frac{v}{V}\right)^{2}=\frac{\left(\cos \alpha\left[1+\frac{s^{(1)}}{\beta} \pm \frac{s^{(b)}}{\beta}\right] \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\beta}\left[1+\frac{s^{(i)}}{\beta}\right] \sqrt{\left.\frac{1-x}{x} \right\rvert\,}\right.}{1+\left[\frac{s^{(2)}}{B}\right]}
$$

The compressible pressure coefficient from the compressible form of bernoulli's equation is

$$
i_{p}=\frac{1}{0 . \ddots_{0}}\left[1\left[1+0 . \because \quad\left[1-\left(\frac{\vdots}{\because}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{3.5}-1\right\}
$$

The airfoil lift, axial torse and pitching moment are computed trow, the compressible and incompressible solutions in the following manner

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { set } l_{x}=i \quad(\because)-i_{i} \quad(\cdots) \\
& \text { 1. } \int_{i}^{\cdots}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore trapezoidal rule


Similarly
$C A(X)=\frac{\pi}{\because} \sum_{V=1}^{i-1}\left[\sum_{F_{u}}(\because)^{\prime}\left(S^{(2)}(x)+S^{(5)}(x)\right)-C_{p_{1}}(11)\left(S^{(2)}(x)\right.\right.$.

and

$$
M(: a)=\frac{\pi}{\therefore} \sum_{\nu=1}^{X-1}\left[1_{\lambda}(x-25) \frac{\sin \theta}{2}\right]_{\nu}
$$

4.1.3 Ideal Parameters

The ideal parameters are obtained from thin air toil theory, which in effect means results are obtained for the meanline characteristics in, an incompressible inviscid flow. The ideal angle of at rack is obtained from

$$
\alpha_{i}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-1 x}{\pi[x(1-x)]} / 1 / 2 d x
$$

How ter, at the leading and trailing edges the equation is undefined and increments in the vicinity of the leading and trailing edges must be determined, in addition to the integration over the interior portion of the chur.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x-i 10 \quad x=.0 \mid i n \quad x \times 1 \\
& \text { * *..13.71 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \alpha_{i} \mid & =-\left..3739 z_{s}\right|^{x}+\left..04745 \frac{d z}{d x}\right|_{x=1} \\
x & =.9619 \text { to } x=.9619 \\
x & =1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

resulting in

$$
\Delta \alpha_{i}=57.3\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\Delta \alpha_{i}=0 \text { to } \\
x=.0381
\end{array}+\Delta \alpha_{\substack{1 \\
x=.0381 \\
x=.9619}}+\Delta \alpha_{i} \quad \begin{array}{l}
x=.9619 \text { to } \\
\end{array}\right]
$$

The angle of attack for zero lift is obtained in a similar manner

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{OL}}=-\int_{0}^{1} z_{s}\left[\frac{1}{(1-x) \sqrt{x[1-x]}}\right] d x
$$

with

The total value is given by

The ideal lift coefficient is now simply

$$
c_{l_{1}}=\frac{2 . \pi}{57.3}\left[\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{\mathrm{OL}}\right]
$$

The pitching moment about the quarter chord is.

$$
C_{m_{0}}=\frac{2 \pi}{N} \cdot \sum_{\nu} z_{s} \cos \theta_{\nu}+\frac{\pi}{57.3} \frac{a_{0 L}}{2}
$$

### 4.1.4 Crest Critical Mach Number

The crest critical Mach number is precisely defined as that free stream Mach number for which local sonic flow is first reached at the airfoil surface crest on the assumption of shock free flow. Its significance is founded on its relation to the drag rise Mach number. Various empirical studies have been aimed at finding the critical pressure ratio at the crest which corresponds to a drag rise in the test data. Nitzberg (NACA KMA9G2U) proposed a critical pressure ratio for drag rise of

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {CREST }} / \mathrm{P}_{\text {TUTAL }}=0.5283
$$

which corresponds to a crest Mach number of $M=1.0$. Sinnot (RAS TUM-6407) proposed the ratio

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {CKEST }} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{TOTAL}}=0.515
$$

which corresponds to a Mach number at the crest of $M=1.02$ and which correlates better with drag-rise data. Sinnot's value is used in the Airfoil Section Module, thus the crest critical Mach number corresponds to a local flow at Mach 1.02 at the crest rather than sonic conditions. The relationship between the crest pressure and crest critical Mach number is

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{CREST}=\frac{0.515\left(1+0.2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{CC}}^{2}\right)^{3.5}-1}{0.7 \mathrm{FM}_{\mathrm{CC}}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\left[\beta_{C C}+1 / 2\left(1-\beta_{C C}\right) C_{P_{C R E S T}}\right]^{-1} \\
M_{C C} & =\text { CREST CRITICAI. AIAC: } \\
C_{p_{C R E S T}} & =\text { INCONPRESSIBILE VALUE } \\
\beta_{C C} & =\sqrt{1-I_{C C}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Kewritten so that ${ }^{M C C}$ is a function of $C_{P_{C R E S T}}$, the relation is approximated by
$M_{C C}=\left[1.023-.9507 C_{P_{C R E S T}}-.414 C_{p} Z_{R E S T}-.1506 C_{p C R E S T}-.0212 C_{p C R E S T}\right]^{1}$

The crest location for each angle of attack is determined by comparing the airfoil surface slope for each $x$ location to tangent $\mu$. The final location is obtained by interpolating between the two given $\times$ locations whose airfoil slopes bracket the tangent a value. The CPCREST value is obtained by interpolation of the Weber incompressible pressure distribution between the two $x$ values surfounding $X_{C R E S T}$. The crest critical lift coefficient is obtained using the' Karinan-Tsien compressibility rule on the $M=0$ integrated Weber lift coefficient.

where, $C L(M)=C_{L}$ for $M=U$.
No specific boundary layer correction is used. However, the Datcom recommends a $5 \%$ correction factor co bring the results in line with experimental data, and the yiscous correction of section lift curve slope proposed by Kinsey and Bowers (Appendix B, Volume i) has been incorporated.
4. 2 TRANSONIC WING CL FAIKING, TRANSONIC WING X $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ac}}$ FAIRING, and TKANSONIC WING $C_{D_{W}}$ FAIRING
Datcom wing methods in the transonic Mach regime calculate aerodynamic parameters only at specific Mach numbers. Data at the requested Mach number is then determined by interpolation. This approach is used for the wing lift curve, slope ( $C_{L_{i}}$ ), wave drag ( $C_{D_{i}}$ ), and aerodynamic center ( $X_{a c}$ ). Nonlinear fairings for each of these parameters are discussed in the following paragraphs.

### 4.2.1 Transonic Fairings of Wing $C_{L_{\alpha}}$

Wing lift curve slope, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$, is calculated in subroutine $\operatorname{TRS} \emptyset N I$, overlay 24. The same methods are used for the horizontal tail in subroutine TRS $\varnothing \mathrm{NJ}$, also in overlay 24.

Datcom section 4.1 .3 .2 defines the methods for calculation of $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ at five discrete Mach numbers from 0.6 to 1.4. Values at Mach 0.6 and 1.4 use the subsonic and supersonic methods, respectively. The routine used to fair this curve is a modified version of subroutine ASMINT used in the Airfoil Section Moduie, overlay SU. To ensure a smooth continuous interpolation, a curve is constructed by fitting the points by a left-hand parabola joined to a series of cubic curves, and finally a right-hand parabola. This technique yields a function which has continuous derivatives everywhere: The slope of tịe curve at subsonic Macn numbers is obtained by differentiating the equation on Datcom page 4.1.3.2-4y with respect to Mach number. At Mach 1.'4 the slope is found by calculating values at Mach 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 and assuming a curve of the form:

$$
C_{L}=A+B / B+C / B^{2}
$$

Subsonic methods are used to Mach 0.75 , or $0 .:$ less than the force break Mach nurber ( $M_{f b}$ ), whichever is smaller, and transonic fairings are initiated at that point.

Subroutines TRANWG and TRANHT are used to calculate $C_{L_{a}}$ at Mach 1.3, 1.4 , and 1.5 and return $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ and its slope at Mach 1.4. Subroutines TRSøNI and TRSONJ calculate $C_{L_{i x}}$ using the subsonic equation if the Mach number is less than 0.75 (or $M_{f b}-0.1$ ), calculate the slope of the subsonic $C_{L_{a}}$ curve at Mach 0.75 , and call the new fairing routine if the Mach number if geezter rhán 0.75.

### 4.2.2 Transonic Fairing of Wing $C_{D_{W}}$

The wing wave drag, $C_{D_{W}}$, is calculated in subroutines TRS $\emptyset N I$ and $T R S \emptyset N J$, overlay 24 , for the wing and horizontal tail, respectively. The method is given in Datcom section 4.1.5.1.

Digital Datcom performs a linear interpolation of Datcom Figure 4.1.5.129 at fifteen discrete Mach numbers to determine the variation of $C_{D_{W}}$. Nonlinear interpolations of this curve are performed as required at the user defined Mach numbers using the fairing routine developed for wing $C_{L}$. Two additional constraints were applied to perform this fairing.
a. If the linear slope to the left or right of a given point, except the end points, is less than UNUSED, ( $10^{-60}$ on CDC computers), the slope at that point is set to zero:
b. Any computed value less than zero is set to zero.

Within the fairing routine, the number of points in the curve is used to discriminate between a fairing of $C_{D_{W}}$ and $C_{L_{\alpha}}$.

### 4.2.3 Transonic Fairings of Wing Aerodynamic Center

Aerodynamic center, $X_{a c}$, is calculated in subroutines TRANCM and TRHTCM, overlay 25 , for the wing and horizontal tail, respectively.

Datcom section 4.1 .4 .2 defines the method for calculation of $X_{a c}$ at six discrete Mach numbers from 0.6 to 1.4. Values at 0.6 and 1.4 are determined using the subsonic and supersonic methods, respectively; the remaining four points are obtained from Datcom Figure 4.1.4.2-30 corresponding to $\overline{\mathrm{V}}=-2,-1,0$ and +1 . If the thickness ratio is less than or equal to $7 \%$, these data are interpolated for the aerodynamic center. If the thickness ratio is greater than $7 \%$, the curve is defined using points which are a function of the force break Mach number, $M_{f b}$. An increment to the aerodynamic center is found from Datcom Figure 4.i.4.2-33 and applied at the fifth point $\left(M_{f b}+0.07\right)$ and the resulting curve is then interpolated for the aerodynamic center. The following table sumarizes the interpolation table:

|  | Using Six Points <br> $t / c<7 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ | 0.60 |
| $M_{2}$ | $M$ for $\bar{V}=-2$ |
| $M_{3}$ | $M$ for $\bar{V}=-1$ |
| $M_{4}$ | $M$ ior $\bar{V}=0$ |
| $M_{5}$ | $M$ for $\bar{V}=+1$ |
| $M_{6}$ | 1.40 |
| $M_{7}$ | - |
| $M_{8}$ | - |


| Using Eight Points <br> $t / c>7 \%$ |
| :---: |
| 0.60 |
| $\left(0.60+M_{f b}\right) / 2$ |
| $M_{f b}$ |
| $M_{f b}+0.03$ |
| $M_{f b}+0.07$ |
| $M_{f b}+0.14$ |
| $M_{\text {for }} \bar{v}=+1$ |
| 1.4 |

The interpolation routine used is similar to the routine used for $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ and $C_{D_{W}}$ (Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2).
4.3 TRANSONIC WING $C_{L}$, TRANSONIC WING $C_{D}$, TRANSONIC WING BODY-TAIL $C_{D}-$ TRANSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL $C_{D}$ TRANSONIC WING $C_{\ell_{B}}$, and TRANSONIC WINGBODY $^{C_{i}}{ }_{\beta}$
This section describes those methods used to compute the transonic configuration aerodynamics using Second Level Methods, and are summarized in Table 6. Additionally, the partial output is described. 4.3.1 Transonic Wing Lift Coefficient, $C_{\text {L }}$

The wing lift curve versus angle of attack is programmed in subroutine WINGCL. The method described in Datcom section 4.1.3.3 is used as a guide to produce trends and is not construed to be an exact method of solution. Since the method is an approximate one, the following procedure was employed to produce the wing lift characteristics applicable to thin, low aspect ratio wings:

1. The required experimental data inputs by the user are $a_{0}$ (zero lift angle of attack) and $\alpha_{*}$ (the angle of attack where the lift becomes nonlinear).
2. The lift variation is assumed to be linear up to $\alpha_{\star}$, and nonlinear to ${ }^{\alpha_{1}} C_{\text {(maximum lift angle of attack). }}$

TABLE 6 PROGRAMMED TRAN:SONIC SECOND LEVEL METHODS SUMMARY

| DATCOM SECTION | AERODYNAMIC PARAMETER | CONFIGURATION | SUBROLITINE PROGRAMMED | EXPERIMENTAL DATA input reauired | PARTIAL OUTPUT AVAILABLE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1.3.3 | $c_{L}$ | WINGS | WINGCL | $a_{0}, a_{0}$ | $a_{0}, a_{*}$ |
| 4.1.5.2 | ${ }^{D_{D}}$ | WINGS | WINGCL | $C_{L}$ OR $a_{0}, a_{*}$ | $c_{D_{L}} / C_{L}{ }^{2}$ |
| 5.1.2.1 | $C_{f_{j}}$ | WINGS | WINGCL | $c_{L}$ OR $a_{0}, a_{\text {. }}$ | $C_{\ell_{\beta}} / C_{L}$ |
| 5.2.2.1 | $c_{\ell_{\beta}}$ | WING-BODY | WBCLB | $C_{L}$ | $C_{1} / C_{L}$ |
| 4.5.3.2 | $C_{D}$ | WING-BODY-TAIL | CDWEr | ${ }^{C_{0}}{ }_{\text {WB }}$ <br> $C_{D_{H}}$ | (NONE) |
|  |  | . |  | $\begin{aligned} & r_{l_{H}} \\ & q / q_{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\epsilon$ |  |
| 4.5.3.1 | $C_{D_{0}}$ | WING-800Y-TAIL | WBrco | $C_{D_{O V}} \text { OR } C_{D_{O W B T}}$ <br> ITYPE ITYPE OF GENERAL CONFIGURATION) | $M_{0}$ |

[^1]3. The nonlinear lift region is modeled by a mathematical relationship that satisfies the following conditions:


A modified polynomial of the form

$$
y=A+B\left(X-X_{0}\right)+C\left(X-X_{0}\right)^{N}
$$

is utilized to satisfy each of the bouncary conditions and yield a cuive somewhat parabolic in shape. This relationship has provided excellent results in modeling the nonlinear lift range. Derivation of the unknowns $A$, $B, C$ and $N$ is described in Section 4.3.7.

Two other user options are available from the routine; (a) the user may input only $\alpha_{0}$, or (b) the user inputs only $\alpha_{*}$. Since both $\alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{*}$ are required to estimate the lift variation by the preceding technique, the subroutine will provide an estimate for the missing parameter from a quadratic expression. Specifically, a quadratic polynomial can be faired through the nonlinear lift region if $\alpha$ is an unknown. Applying the generalized boundary conditions to a polynomial of order two, and solving for $\alpha_{*}$ will yield an estimate for this unknown. Conversely, if $\alpha_{0}$ is not input, it can be determined in a similar manner.

The relationships used are as follows:

1. 'a * not inp'st

2. $\infty_{0}$ not input


If neither $\alpha_{0}$ nor $\alpha_{*}$ are user inputs, no solution is possible, but the program calculated values for $C_{L}, C_{L_{\max }}$ and ${ }^{\alpha} C_{L_{\text {max }}}$ are available as partial output.

### 4.3.2 Transonic Wing Drag due to Lift, $C_{D}$

The programmed procedure for computing the ratio $C_{D_{D}} / C_{L}{ }^{2}$ is exactly as described in Datcom section 4.1.5.2. The method does $\mathbf{a}$ three dimensional table lookup for Figure 4.1.5.2-55a (A tan $\left(\Lambda_{L E}\right)=0$ ) and for Figure 4.1.5.2-55b $\left(A \tan \left(\Lambda_{L E}\right)=3\right)$. Figure 4.1.5.2-55c shows a linear relationship of the dependent variable $(t / c)^{-1 / 3} C_{D_{L}} / C_{L}{ }^{2}$ as a function of the transonic similarity parameter $A$ tan ( $\Lambda_{L E}$ ) for each value of the ratio ( $M^{2}-$ 1)/(t/C) ${ }^{2 / 3}$; it was assumed that this linear relationship would hold for all other taper ratios other than 0.50 . Therefore, linear extrapolations on all varibles would be performed if required.

This method was programmed in subroutine WINGCL with the calculation for wing $C_{L}$. Since $C_{L}$ is required to calculate $C_{D_{L}}$, the calculation of wing $C_{L}$ would enable the calculation of this parameter if $C_{L}$ is not input as experimentai data. The routine will not overwrite experimental data input, and thus the user oriented features are retained.

The ratio $C_{D_{L}} / C_{L}{ }^{2}$ is available from the routine and will be output for user reference if $C_{D_{L}}$ cannot be calculated.

### 4.3.3 Transonic Wing Roll Derivative, $C_{\ell_{\beta}}$

Like the wing $C_{D_{L}}$ calculation described, the method of Datcom Section 5.1.2.1 requires wing lift to calculate from the relationship $C_{l_{B}} / C_{L}$, equation 5.1.2.1-c. Thus, this method is also programmed in subroutine WINGCL. : The calculated value $f: C_{\ell_{\beta}}$ will not overwrite any experimental
data input. The ratio $C_{\ell} / C_{L}$ is provided if the calculation for $C_{\ell_{\ell}}$ cannot be completed. No exceptions are taken for che Datcom method. The ratio, $C_{i} / C_{L}$ at Mach numbers 0.6 and 1.4 are obtained by calling the subsonic and supersonic aerodynamic modules.
4.3.4 Transonic Wing-Body Roll Derivative, $C_{\ell_{B}}$

The derivative $C_{\ell_{k}}$ will be calculated by Datcom equation 5.2.2.1-d if the wing-body lift coefficient variation with angle of attack is supplied, or computed as described above. The ratio $C_{\ell} / C_{L}$ is given as partial output if the lift variation is not sfecified. This method is implemented exactly as described in Datcom and is programmed in subroutine WBCLB. Since $C_{\ell}{ }_{\beta}$. $C_{L}$ at $M_{f b}$ and Mach 1.4 are required input items for this method, they are calculated by calling the appropriate aerodynamic modules.

### 4.3.5 Transonic Wing-Body-Tail Drag Coefficient, $C_{D}$

This method is a "method for all speeds" as described in Datcon Section 4.5.3.2, and is incorporated in exactly the same manner as presently programmed for the subsonic solution. This method, as programmed in subroutine CDWBT, require the following experinental data inputs:

1. $C_{D_{W B}}$ vs angle of attack
2. $C_{D_{H}}$ vs angle of attack
3. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}}}$ vs angle of attack
4. $q / q_{\infty}$ vs angle of attack
5. $\in$ vs angle of at tack
6. $C_{D_{o V}}$ or $C_{D_{O_{W B T}}}$

If $C_{D_{O V}}$ is not an experimental data input item, the program will calculate it from the estimated $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{O}_{W B T}}}$ calculated as follows:
$C_{D_{O V}}=C_{D_{O W B T}}-C_{D_{O W B}}-C_{D_{O_{H}}}$
No partial output is avallable from this method.
4.3.6 Transonic Wing-Body-Tail Zero Lift Drag Coefficient, $C_{D_{0}}$

This method follows exactly the method of Datcom section 4.5.3.1, and is programmed as subroutine WBTCDO: This routine does not require experimental data input, although experinental data input is an optional feature for this routine.

Utilizing appropiate configuration description parameters the procem computes the drag divergence Mach number, M, from Figure 4.5.3.1-19. The experimental data input allows the user, at his option, to select the type of general configuration to be used in computing Mo. The three options are:

- A - Straight wing designs without area rule.
o B - Swept wing designs without area rule.
o. C Swept wing designs incorporating transonic area rule theory.

The program default options are as follows:
o No wing sweep - General Configuration A
o Swept wing, configuration type not defined - General Config!ration B The general configuration types are defined by the parameter ITYPE, where ITYPE=1 for configuration type $A$, ITYPE=2 for configuration type $B$, and ITYPE=3 for type $C$. In the case of configuration type $C$, the line for type $C$, in Figute 4.5.3.1-1 4 , was linearly extrapolated and programmed. All extrapolations in this figure, with the exception of thickness ratio, are assumed to be linear; thickness ratio is extrapolated in a quadracic fashion.

With $M_{D}$ calculated from Figure 4.5.3.1-19, it is necessary to fair the $C_{D_{0}}$ curve across the transonic Mach regime. The following criteria was used to fair the curve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \frac{d C_{D_{0}}}{d M}=0.10 @ M=M_{D} \\
& \text { 2. } C_{D_{0}}=C_{D_{O M}=.7}+.002 \text { OM } M=M_{D} \\
& \text { 3. } \frac{d C_{D_{0}}}{d M}=\frac{C_{D_{0 M}}=7}{.1}-C_{D_{O M}=.6} \quad @ M=.7 \\
& \text { 4. } \frac{d C_{D_{0}}}{d M}=\frac{{ }^{C}{D_{O M}}=1.4-C_{D_{O M=1.1}}}{.3} @ M=1.1
\end{aligned}
$$

A polynomial fairing of the same type as used for the wing nonlinear lift coefficient is used here and has shown acceptable results.

The values of $C_{D_{0}}$ at Mach . 7 and 1.1 for this method are obtained by calling the subsonic and supersonic aerodynamic modules.

### 4.3.7 Data Fairing Technique

The data fairing technique used for computins the nonlinear lift region of transonic wings and the transonic wing-body-tail zero lift drag córficient was chosen for its powerful features and ease of application.

The general fairing formula is a polynomial whose form is:
$y=A+B\left(X-X_{0}\right)+C\left(X-X_{0}\right)^{N}$
where $A, B ; C$ and $N$ are unknowns. Given the values of $y$ and $d y / d x$ at two points, $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$, four simultaneous equations can be witten. These equations solved simultaneously for the four unknowns yield the following results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\prime}=y_{0} \\
& B=\frac{d y}{d x} @ x=x_{0} \\
& C=\frac{y_{1}-y_{0}-\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{0}\left(x_{0}-x_{0}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)} \\
& N=\frac{\left[\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right) x_{1}-\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{x_{0}}\right]\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)}{y_{1}-y_{0}\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{0}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general equat ion reduces to

$$
y=y_{0}+\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{0} \quad\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\left[y_{1}=y_{0}-\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\right]\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right)^{N}
$$

This equation is valid for $X_{0} \leq X \leq X_{1}$ and (dy/dx) $X_{0} \neq(d y / d x) X_{1}$. Neither of these conditions is violated in this application. The range of values of $X$ will always fall between $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ because of the program logic, and in the nonlinear lift region the slopes at $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ will never te equal. For the transonic wing-bod;-tail $C_{D_{0}}$ versus Mach fairing the Datecom relation $\left(\mathrm{dC}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{O}}} / \mathrm{dM}\right)=0.10$ at $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{D}}$.
4.4 SUBSONIC WING $C_{m}$, SUBSUNIC AND SUPERSONIC WING AERODYNAMIC CENTER, SUBSONIC WING-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$, and SUBSONIC WING-BODY-TALL $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$
The subsonic wing pitching moment variation with angle of attack follows Datcom Method 1 of Section 4.1.4.3, and is programmed in subroutine CMALPH. The method is applicable to those configurations whose wing aspect ratio satisfies the following criteria:

$$
A \leq \frac{6}{\left(1+C_{1}\right) \cos \Lambda L E}
$$

("LOW ASPECT RATIO")

For "high aspect ratio" configurations, the default wing aerodynamic center is either the quarter-chord of the wing mean aerodynamic chord, or the user input value (variable name $X_{A C}$ in the planform section characteristics namelists). This value is used in computing pitching moment for the wing ip to the angle of attack where the wing lift deviates by more than 7. $5 \%$ from the linear value; at this point the method is no longer valid.

There are no methods in Datcom or Digital Datcom for supersonic wing pitching moment, though the wing $X_{A C}$ is estimated to be at the wing planform centroid for unswept leading edges, and computed using the method and design charts of Datcom section 4.1.4.2 for other surfaces. These supersonic data are computed in subroutine SUPLNG.

There is no Datcom method for computing the wing-body pitching moment in any Mach regime. Digital Datcom, however, computes the subsonic wing-body pitching moment using the following formulation (programmed in subroutines WBCMO and WBCM):

- Compute ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{0}}$ ) $\mathrm{wb}_{\mathrm{B}}$ from regression formulation of Datrom Section 4.3.2.1, programmed in WBCMO. If the method is not applicable, ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{0}}$ ) WB is computed from Method 1.
- Compute the wing-body aerodynamic center from Datcom Section 4.3.2.2 (WBCM), where Equation 4.3.2.2-a is used at all speeds.
- The wing-body $C_{m}$ curve is then computed as

$$
c_{m_{W B}}=c_{m_{O_{W B}}}+c_{m_{C}}+c_{m_{C}}
$$

where $C_{m_{C}}$ is the pitching moment due to lift obtained by integralting the curve of $X_{A C}$ versus $C_{L}$ from $C_{L}=0$ and to $C_{L}$ at the desired angle of attack, and $C_{m_{C}}$ is the pitching moment due to wing-body drag located at $Z_{A C}$.

Subsonic wing-body-tail pitching moment verse angle of attack is computed by Digital Datcom in subroutine WBTAIL, though there is no Datcom method for this parameter. The method formulation used is as follows:

$$
c_{L_{j H}}=c_{L_{j}}-c_{L_{j B T}}
$$

$$
\left(c_{m_{j}}\right)_{W B T}=\left(c_{m_{j}}\right)_{W B}+\left(q / q_{\infty}\right)_{j}\left(c_{m_{o}}\right)_{H}+\frac{\left(x_{a c}-x_{c}\right)_{H}}{\bar{c}_{r}}\left[\left(c_{L_{j}}\right)_{H} \cos (\alpha)_{j}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\left(C_{D_{j}}\right)_{H}\left(q / q_{\infty}\right)_{j} \sin (\alpha)_{j}\right]+\frac{\left({ }^{7} a c^{-2} c_{g}\right)_{H}}{\bar{c}_{r}}\left[\left(C_{D_{j}}\right)_{H}\left(q / q_{\infty}\right)_{j} \cos (\alpha)_{j}\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\left(c_{L_{j}}\right)_{H} \sin (\alpha)_{j}\right]
$$

### 4.5 TRANSONIC BODY $C_{L}$ FAIRING AND TRANSONIC BODY $C_{m}$ FAIRING

The transonic $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ and $C_{m_{\alpha}}$ derivatives for the body alone configuracion is interpolated linearly between the subsonic ( $M-0.60$ ) and supersonic $(M=1.40)$ Mach regimes in subroutine BøDYRT.
4.6 SUBSONIC ASYMMETKICAL BODY $C_{L}$, SUBSONIC ASYMMERICAL BODY $C_{m_{O}}$
$C_{m}$, AND SUBSONIC ASYMMETRICAL BODY $C_{D_{0}}, C_{D}$
Digital Datcom body solutions generally include lift, drag, and pitching moment coefficients. In the transonic speed regime the solutions are restricted to lift and pitching moment slopes, and drag coefficients. 4.6.1 Subsonic Bodies

Subsonic body analysis computes lift, drag, and pitching moment coefficients for either axisymmetric or cambered bodies. Digital Datcom body methods are identical to Datcom except for the base drag. Digital Datcom calculates base drag using a minimum base area equal to $30 \%$ of the body maximum cross-sectional area.

The cambered body pitching moment method is not defined in Datcom and is therefore described in detail. For clarity, the lift method, which is defined in Datcom, is also described. These body methods (subroutine $B(D \| P T)$ are executed when the parameters $Z_{U}$ and $Z_{L}$ are user specified (namelist $B \not \subset D Y$ ). The method predicts the zero lift angle of attack, zero lift pitching moment, and body lift and pitching moment versus angle of attack. The Datcom.drag methods are retained.

Zero lift angle of attack and pitching moment are calculated utilizing conventional mean line theory. The equations are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{0}=\frac{-57.3}{\pi} \int_{0}^{0.95} \frac{z^{\prime}}{L}\left[\frac{1}{(1-X / L)\left[X / L-(X / L)^{2}\right] 1 / 2}\right] d(X / L) \text {, degrees } \\
c_{m_{0}}=2.0 \int_{0}^{1.0} \int^{1}\left[\frac{1-2.0 X / L}{L}\left[X / L-(X / L)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right] d(X / L)
\end{gathered}
$$

These parameters are defined in Figure 25.
Lift and moment for asymmetric bodies are calculated by employing a modified version of Polhamus's leading-edge suction analogy (Keferences 2 and 3). Polhamus considers two components of lift, a potential flow term, $C_{L_{P}}$, and a vortex-lift term $C_{L_{V}}$. Both of these terms are a function of body aspect ratio (A) and are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} & =\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{P}}+\mathrm{C}_{L_{V}} \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{P}}} & =K_{P} \sin \alpha \cos ^{2} \alpha \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{V}} & =K_{V} \sin ^{2} \alpha \cos \alpha \\
\alpha & =\text { angle of attack }
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{p}$ and $K_{V}$ are ottained from Figure 26.
The Polhamus vortex lift equation wist be modified to make it applicable to thick bodies because the onseb of vortex lift for such configurations is not at zero angie of attack as it is with flat plate wings. The thick body angle of attack for onset of vortex lift ( $\alpha_{v}$ ) can be correlated with the fineness ratio ( $F K$ ), and tire thickness ratio (TR) of the body as shown in Figure 27a. The body thickness parameters are shown in Figure 27b. Experimental sata used in correiation are presented in keferences 4 through 7. The redefired lift expressions for thick bodies are as follows:
$C_{L_{P}}=K_{P} \sin a \cos ^{2} \alpha$
$C^{\prime} L_{V}=K_{V} \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{V}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\alpha_{V}\right)$
$C^{\prime} L_{L}=C_{L_{P}}+C^{\prime} L_{V}$

The body pitching moment is obtained by estlmating the center-ofpressure locations of both the potential and vortex lift components. The total pitching moment is equal to the sum of the moments produced by the lift forces acting at their respective center-of-pressure locations plus the zero lift pitching moment. The potential lift center-ofpressure location employed stems from slender body theory and is presented in Figure 28 as a function of $n$. The equation for the powerlaw planform is of the form $R=R_{\max }(X / L)$. . The program computes an exponent $n$ that closely approximates the input planform area. The potential lift center-of-pressure location is obtained from Figure 28 or the equation,

$$
x_{c p} / L=2 n /(2 n+1)
$$
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FIGURE 25 ASYMMETRIC BODY GEOMETRY INPUTS


FIGURE 28 POTENTIAL AND VORTEX LIFT COMPONENTS
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FIGURE 27b BODY THICKNESS PARAMETERS
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Vortex lift center of pressure is assumed to be located at the total planform centroid of area. The equation for the body pitching moment coefficient is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{m}=c_{m_{0}}+c_{m_{p}}+c_{m_{V}} \\
& c_{m_{p}}=c_{N_{p}}\left(x_{C G}-x_{C p}\right) / L \\
& c_{m_{v}}=c_{N_{v}}\left(x_{C G}-\bar{x}\right) / L
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{X}$ is the location of the total planform center of area measured from the body nose. The method is applicable at angles of attack equal to or greater than the wing maximum lif: angle of attack.

### 4.6.2 Transonic Bodies

Digital Datcom body solutions are restricted to lift and pitching moment slopes, and drag coefficients in the transonic speed regime. These data are computed by performing a linear interpolation between the subsonic ( $M=0.60$ ) and supersonic ( $M=1.4$ ) Mach regimes.

Subroutines that implement the transonic body methods are BøDYRT, SUPBØD, TRSØNI, and TRS $\emptyset N J$.

### 4.6.3 Superscnic Bodies

Supersonic body analysis provides solutions for lift, drag and pitching moment coefficients. Datcom methods for lift, pitching moment slope, and drag coefficient require the body to be synthesized from a combination of body components comprised of a nose, after-body, and/or tail segments. Digital Datcom requires synthesized body configurations to be either nose alone, nose-after body, nose-after body-tail, or nose-tail segment combinations.

Some of the Datcom body drag meribựs in this speed regime have not been implemented in Digital Datcom. The affects of blunted noses on drag are not incorporated since, the body lift and pitching moment methods do not refiect the influences of this parameter. Some of the Datcom interference drag methods are also deleted. In this case, the methods were caitted because of their limited range of applicability.

Calculation of wing-body, or horizontal tail-iody, stability requires the lift curve slope of the body ahead of the wing or horizontal tail. Body $C_{N}$ methods are executed for the portion of the body ahead of the wing, if the wing is present; the portion of the body ahead of the horizontal tail, if the horizontal tail is present; and entire body.

All methods are implemented by subroutine SUPE $\emptyset D$ except for a portion of the drag methods contained in subroutine FIG26.

### 4.6.4 Hypersonic Bodies

Hypersonic body analysis is performed at user designated Mach numbers that are equal or greater than 1.4. In this speed regime, Digital Datcom stabllity solutions include lift, drag and pitching moment coefficients.

Hypersonic body analyses for lift and pitching moment slopes and drag coefficients, like the supersonic body methods, require the body to be synthesized from a combination of body segments. Hypersonic body analysis is unlike the other Datcom hypersonic configuration analyses since the methods are defined independent of the supersonic results. Body $C_{N_{\alpha}}$ for portions of the body ahead of the wing and/or horizontal tail are also calculated.

The methods are implemented in subroutine HYPBOD. A small portion of the drag methoas are found in subroutine FIG26.

### 4.7 TRANSONIC WING-BODY $C_{L}$

The transonic wing-body lift coefficient, if not input using namelist EXPR--, is computed in subroutine WBCLB using the following equacions:

$$
c_{L_{I}}=\left(c_{L_{\alpha}}\right)_{w}^{*} \quad\left(\alpha_{j}\right)_{W}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(C_{L_{j}}\right)_{W B}^{\prime} & =\left(C_{L_{a}}\right)_{B} a_{j}+\left[K_{W(B)}+K_{B(W)}\right]\left(C_{L_{a}}\right) \star_{W}{ }_{j} \\
& +I_{V_{B(W)}}\left(\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi \pi_{j} V_{r_{1}} C_{r e}}\right)\left(\frac{d}{b}\right) a_{j}\left(C_{L_{a}}\right)^{*} \\
& +\left[k_{W(B)}+k_{B(W)}\right] C_{L_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In computing the transonic wing-body pitching mos nt slope, the center of pressure of body-wing carryover is linearly interpolated between the values obtained at Mach 0.60 and Mach 1.40 in subroutine TRANCM.

### 4.8 WING-BODY-TAIL MOVEABLE HORIZONTAL TAIL TRIM

The all moveable horizontal tail incidence required to trim the vehicle ( $C_{M_{C . G .}}=0$ ) at angle of attack is calculated in subroutine TRIMR2. At trim, the forces on the tail are $C_{L_{H}}$ and $C_{D_{H}}$ (trimmed lift ant drag), and are referenced to the local flow at a tail angle of attack of ( $\alpha-\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{H}$ ). Since these trimmed forces are located at the tail aerodynamic center, which is known, the total body moments can be summed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{M_{W B}}+C_{M_{O H}} \cdot \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}-C_{L_{H}} \frac{\dot{q}_{H}}{q_{\infty}}\left[\frac{\Delta x_{A C}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \cos \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)+\frac{\Delta Z_{A C}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \sin \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)\right] \\
& +C_{D_{H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}\left[\frac{\Delta Z_{A C}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \cos \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)-\frac{\Delta X_{A C}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \sin \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{D_{H}}$ can be expressed as

$$
C_{D H}=C_{D_{O_{H}}}+\frac{\left(C_{L_{H}}\right)^{2}}{\pi A_{H} e_{H}}
$$

Hence, the only unknown is $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{H}}$, the tail lift at trim, which can be evaluated. From Sketch (a) note that


VIEW IN PLaNE OF SYMAETRY
$a=$ Airplane ar.gle of attack (positive as shown)
$x_{M}=$ Distance from c.g. to quarter-chord point of horizontal-stabilizer MAC
$\Omega=$ Angle defined by intersection of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{H}}$ with FRP (positive as shown with thorizontal stabilizer above c.g.)

Sketch (a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Delta X_{a c}}{\bar{C}_{W}}=\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \cos \Omega \\
& \frac{\Delta Z_{a c}}{\bar{C}_{W}}=\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \sin \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Delta y_{a c}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \cos \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)+\frac{\Delta Z_{a c}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \sin \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right) \\
& \\
& =\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}}\left[\cos \Omega \cos \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)+\sin \Omega \sin \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)\right] \\
& \\
& =\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \cos \left(\Omega-\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \Delta Z_{a c} \\
& \bar{C}_{W} \cos \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)-\frac{\Delta X}{\bar{C}_{a c}} \\
& \bar{C}_{W} \\
& \sin \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right) \\
&=\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}}\left[\sin \Omega \cos \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)-\cos s \sin \left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}\right)\right] \\
&=\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \sin \left(\Omega-\alpha+\varepsilon_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The moment equation reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{M_{W B}}+C_{M_{O H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}-C_{L_{H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{x_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \cos \left(\Omega-\alpha+\varepsilon_{H}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+\left[C_{D_{O H}}+\frac{\left(C_{L H}\right)^{2}}{\pi A_{H} e_{H}}\right] \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{x_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \sin \left(\Omega-\alpha+\varepsilon_{H}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\delta=\Omega-\alpha+\varepsilon_{H}$ and rearranging yields a quadratic on $C_{L_{H}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{x_{H}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \sin \delta \frac{\left(C_{L H}\right)^{2}}{\pi A_{H} e_{H}} \\
& \quad-\frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{x_{H}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \cos \delta\left(c_{L_{H}}\right) \\
& \quad+C_{D_{O H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{x_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \sin \delta+C_{M_{W B}}+C_{M_{O H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying,

$$
\frac{\tan \delta}{\pi A_{H} e_{H}}\left(C_{L_{H}}\right)^{2}-C_{L_{H}}+C_{D_{O H}} \tan \delta+\frac{c_{M_{W B}}+c_{M_{O H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}}{\frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \cos \delta}=0
$$

From the quadratic formula,


In this form, the equation becomes invalid for $=0$, and can be further reduced to

$$
c_{L_{H}}=\frac{2\left[\frac{c_{M_{W B}}+c_{M_{O H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}}{\frac{\bar{X}_{H}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \cos \delta}+c_{D_{O H}} \tan \delta\right]}{1+\left[1-4\left[\frac{\tan \delta}{\pi A_{H} e_{H}}\right]\left[\frac{c_{M_{W B}}+c_{M_{O H}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}}}{\frac{\bar{X}_{H}}{\bar{c}_{W}} \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \cos \delta}+c_{D_{O H}} \tan \delta\right]\right.}
$$

A plus sign in front of the radical is the valid solution, otherwise at $\delta=0$ the solution is undefined. This result is similar to Daticom equation 4.5.3.2-e, with the exception of the term " $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{OH}}} \mathrm{qH}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{q}_{\infty}$."

Once the tail lift at trim $\left(C_{L_{H}}\right)$ has been determined, a variation of Datcom equation 4.5.1.2-a can be used to calculate the tail incidence ${ }^{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{H}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{L_{H}}=c_{L_{H}}^{\prime}\left(K_{H(B)}+K_{B(H)}\right) \\
& +C_{L_{\alpha_{H}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(a_{i_{H}}\right)\left[k_{H(B)}+k_{B(H)}\right] \\
& +I_{V_{B(H)}}\left(\frac{r}{2 \pi a V r}\right) \frac{\left(b / 2-b^{* / 2}\right)}{(b / 2)} C_{L^{*}} \cdot{ }_{\alpha_{H}}{ }^{\alpha_{e f f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{L_{H}}{ }^{*}$ is the pseudo lift-curve-slope of the horizontal tail in the presence of the body,

$$
c_{L_{\alpha_{H}}^{*}}=c_{L_{\alpha_{H}}}\left(K_{H(B)}+K_{B(H)}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}}}$ ' and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{H}}{ }^{\prime}$ are the horizontal tail lift and lift curve slope at

$$
\left(\alpha-\varepsilon_{H}+\alpha_{O H}\right)
$$

and $\alpha_{a f f}$ is the effective angle of attack of the horizontal tail in the presence of the body

$$
a_{e f f}=a-\varepsilon_{H}+a_{O H}+a_{i_{H}}\left(\frac{k_{H(B)}+k_{B}(H)}{\bar{K}_{H(B)}+K_{B}(H)}\right)
$$

The incidence angle to trim can then be solved directly, and becomes
$a_{i_{H}}=\frac{C_{L_{H}}-\left(K_{H(B)}+K_{B(H)}\right)}{\left(k_{B(H)}+K_{H(B)}\right)}\left[\frac{C_{L_{H}}^{\prime}+C_{L_{a H}}^{\prime}\left(a-\varepsilon_{H}+\alpha_{O H}\right) I_{V_{E / H}}\left(\frac{r}{2 \pi V r}\right)}{\left.C_{L^{\prime}}{ }_{\alpha_{H}}+I_{V_{B(H)}}\left(\frac{r}{2 \pi V r}\right){ }_{H}\left(\frac{b / 22^{-b \star / 2}}{b / 2}\right)\right]}\right.$
Once the tail lift and drag at trim has been computed the panel hinge moment about the pivot point can also be computed. Since $C_{L_{H}}$ and $C_{D_{H}}$ are are referenced to the local flow, they must be computed relative to the freestream flow. Relative to $\mathrm{V}_{\infty}$, trim lift and drag are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }^{C_{L_{H T R I M}}}={ }^{\left(C_{L_{H}}\right.} \cos \varepsilon-C_{D_{H}} \sin \varepsilon\right) \frac{q_{H}}{q_{\infty}} \\
& C_{D_{H}} \\
& \\
& =C_{D_{D_{H R I M}}}+\frac{C_{L_{H}}}{\pi A_{H R I M} e_{H}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The pitching moment trimmed is

$$
C_{M_{H_{T R I M}}}=C_{L_{H_{T R I M}}}\left[\frac{x_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} \cos \delta\right]+C_{D_{H_{T R I M}}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{X_{H}}{\bar{C}_{W}} & \sin \delta
\end{array}\right]
$$

The hinge moment about the pivot point is

$$
C_{H M}=\left[C_{L_{H_{T R I M}}} \quad \cos \alpha+C_{D_{H_{T R I M}}} \quad \sin \alpha\right]
$$

### 4.9 WING-BODY-TAIL TRIM WITH CONTROL DEVICES

Configuration trim with wing or horizontal tail control devices is performed in subroutine TRIMRT. The method programmed, which is not a Datcom method, essentially does a table look-up of the control device incremental pitching moment coefficient versus control deflection for the deflection required to trim. The incremental lift coefficient and drag coefficient are then obtained by performing table look-ups for these variables (which are a function of control deflection aagle) at the trimmed control defiection.

### 4.10 STANDAKD ATMOSPHERE MODEL

Incorpoiation of a standard atmosphere model. (subroutine ATMOS) into Digital Datcom provides input and output flexibility for the user. The program can operate on Mach number and altitude as separate independent. variables. The addition of vehicle weight and flight path angle perrit calculation of equilibrium flight conditions.

The program allows the user to input eicher Mach number or velocity as an indepencient variable for speed reference. If velocity is input, the free stream static temperature must be available so that Mach number can be calculated. The user will also have the option to specify a filight altitude, or static pressure and temperature, as an independent variable defining the aimospheric conditions. If altitude is specified, pressure and temperature will be found using the "U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962:"

The user may input up to 20 Mach or velocity points. If Mach number is input, the velocity will be calculated for each point where atmospheric data are input. When velocity is input the Mach number will be calculated using atmospheric conditions. If velocity is input instead of Mach numbers and atmospheric conditions are not defined, an error message will be written and Mach numbers will, be calculated using a speed of sound of $1000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The user may also input up to 20 atmospheric conditions. The atmosphere may be defined by altitude, pressure and temperature, or Reynolds number. If the altitude is given, pressure and temperature will be determined using the
atmosphere model developed in Reference 9. The Keynolds number will be calculated using the following equatior. (in the foot-pound-second system of units):
$\mathrm{RN} / \mathrm{L}=\rho \mathrm{V} / \mu=1.2527 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{T}+198.6) / \mathrm{T}^{2}$
This equation was derived using the following relationships:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=P / R T \\
& V=M \sqrt{Y R T} \\
& \mu=2.270 \times 10^{-8} T^{1.5} /(T+198.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

If the Reynolds number is not input and cannot be calculated, an error message will be written and the Reynolds number will be set to $5 \times 10^{6} / \mathrm{ft}$. Given the vehicle weight, flight path angle, and atmospheric conditions, the equilibrium flight aerodynamic data can be tetermined. Equilibrium flight is achieved when the following relationstip is satisfied. $W T=\left(C_{L} \cos \delta-C_{D} \sin \delta\right) q S$
Along with the untrimaned aerodynamic output, the level flight ( $\delta=0$ ) lift coefficient will be output. Trim data output will. provide an additional line of output at the equilibrium flight conditions (subroutine FLTCL)

## SECTION 5

## SYSTEM RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

Digital Datcom is a large and rather complex computer program which requires specific computer resources to execute within a fixed core requirement. The program is written to conform to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Standard Fortran IV. Certain computer resources must be available to make the program operational without modifications These resources are:

- Six disk files or scratch tapes are required for manipulation and retrieval of input data. The logical $1 / 0$ units used are 8,9 , 10, 11, 12 and 13. These logical units are in addition to logical unit 5 (read) and unit 6 (write).
o The system must have capability for primary and secondary overlay structures.
o The system must have a Fortran compiler which provides for NAMELIST input and output, and statement transfer when an end of file is detected.

Each logical unit referenced by the program is reserved for a specific purpose. The units referenced and their use in the program are listed below:

Unit
5 Standard system input (card reader)
6 , Standard system output (printer)
8 Storage of experimental data namelists for the case being executed
9 Storage of input namelists, except experimental data; for the case being executed

11 Storage of all input data after processing by the input diagnostic analysis module (CøNERR)

Storage of extrapolation messages for processing by overlay 57 Storage of output data for use with the Plot Module as a postprocessing option

## SECTION 6

## PROGRAM CONVERSION MODIFICATIONS

### 6.1 GENERAL REMARKS

The program was written in Fortran IV for the CDC Cyber 175 computer system. Several program modifications may be required to run under other Fortran compilers or computer systems. It is recommended that users implementing the program for their computer system become familiar with their installation operating system and Fortran compiler requirements. Users are forewarned that program core requirements and run times discussed in this report may no longer be valid.

### 6.2 PROGRAM STRUCTURE

The program is composed of a root segment overlay (overlay 0), fiftyseven primary overlays and twenty-eight secondary overlays. Table 7 shows the overall program structure and lists those routines that are contained in each overlay. In the CDC system, the first routine in an overlay is called a "program" and subsequent routines "subroutines." Several subroutines appear in more than one overlay. These subroutines are called "common decks" and are listed in Table 8.

### 6.2.1 Calls to Overlay

All primary overlays are called by the root segment overlay, and secondary overlays called by their respective primary overlay using the calling sequence

CALL OVERLAY (4LDATC, XX, YY, 6HRECALL)
where: DATC is the disc file where the overlay is located,
$X X$ is the primary overlay number in decimal, and YY is the secondary overlay number in decimal.
Hence, each overlay is written to a disk file with the name "DATC." Users should refer to the Fortran reference manual for their system and determine the correct overlay calling procedure.

### 6.2.2 Common Decks

Several subroutines are used in more'than one overlay. The most commonly used routines are located in the root segment for access by all overlay programs and subroutines. However, several decks are used by only a few
routines and placing thew in the root segment would require an increase in overall program core size. In order to maintain a low core requirement, these common decks are located in each overlay in which it is referenced.

Warning - Not all systems allow two routines to have the same name even though they are identical. If the user's system does not allow this option, three alternatives are available as follows:
o Rename each deck that is common, and change the calling sequence to it. natives are available as follows:
o Place all common decks in the root segment (overlay 0) and remove the deck from each associated overlay'. The user will increase the overall program core requirement by using this technique, however, it is easier than the procedure outlined above.
o On some systems that have multiple region capability', these common decks can be placed in a separate overlay region.
6.2.3 "OVERLAY" and "PROGRAM" Cards.

Each primary and secondary overlay main program contains these two cards. The CDC Fortran compiler requires all overlays to begin with an "OVERLAY" card followed by a main program which begins with a "PROGRAM" card. These must be replaced by corresponding code required by the operating system and compiler being employed.

### 6.2.4 End of File Tests

Routines INPUT, CめNERR and XPERNM utilize a transfer on end of file. This statement must be modified for the Fortran compiler being used. 6.2.5 Use of "IIVJSED" and "KAND"

These cunstants are set in BLDCK DATA. The value for "UNUSED" is set, in the program as $10^{-60}$. It is sometimes used as a program flag and is used for initializing all variable arrays. to some number other than zero. The jalue for "UNUSED" can be changed if desired and wust be defined in BLØCK DATA as a small positive number. The variable "KAND" defines the alphabetic character used by the NAMELIST $t$ 'iputs. It is set to ' $\$$ ' for CDC systems.

## SECTION 7

## PROGRAM DECK DESCRIPTION

This section contains a description of all routines in Digital Datcom. Table 7 lists the decks by overlay, Table 8 lists those "common decks" in the program, and Table 9 describes the purpose of each deck and the overlays referenced. For convenience, Table 9 lists the routines in alphabetical order. Table 10 discusses the use of each of the variables in the Digital Datcom control data slocks. The description of the plot module routines is provided in Volume III of this report.

A complete prograw listing, which includes Digital Datcom and the Piot Module, is provided as a microfiche supplement to this report.
table 7 digital datcon overlay description

TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

TABLE 7. DIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOH OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | ECSPCI |  |
|  | M05105 | CALCULATE HORIZONTAL TAIL DRAG DATA |
|  | CDRAG | : . |
|  | FIG53A |  |
| 06 | M06006 | CALCULATE SUESONIC AXISYMMETRIC BODY aEroornamics |
|  | BIDYRT |  |
|  | EQSPCE |  |
|  | EQSPCI |  |
|  | GETMAX |  |
|  | TRAPZ |  |
|  | Bgdrju |  |
|  | M07807 | CALCULATE SUBSONIC WING-BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| $07.1$ | - WBAERD | CALCULATE WING-BODY $C_{D}, C_{L}, C_{M}, C_{N}, C_{A}$ |
|  | BDDPWG |  |
|  | $\therefore \quad$ ALI |  |
|  | TRAPZ |  |
|  | WBDRAG |  |
|  | WBLIFT | $\because$ |
|  | - WBCM |  |
|  | - WBCMO | . |
|  | - tablẽ |  |

table 7 digital datcor overlay description

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTIOH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07, 2 | WBCD | CALCULATE WING-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\text {d }}$ |
|  | WBCDL <br> TABLES |  |
|  | TBSUB |  |
|  | TBTRN |  |
|  | TBSUP |  |
| 08 | M08¢ 10 | Calculate subsonic vertical tail drag data |
|  | - YTDRAG |  |
| 99 | M09@11 VFDRAG | Calculate subsonic wing flow field at horizontal tail |
|  | DYPRLS |  |
|  | DWASH |  |
|  | TRAPZ |  |
| 10 | M10912 | CALCULATE SUBSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL AERODYNAMICS |
|  | BøDOWG |  |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ | ALI. |  |
|  | WGEPTL |  |
|  | WbTAIL |  |
| 11 | M1913 | CALCULATE GROUND EFFECTS |
|  | DMPARY |  |
|  | tiRDEFF |  |

table 7 digital datcor overlay description

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTIOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | M12014 | PRINTS OUTPUTS |
| 12, 1 | Qutput | PRINT CONVENTIONAL OUTPUTS |
|  | - headr |  |
|  | $\therefore$ PRCSID |  |
|  | INTERM |  |
|  | $\therefore$ SWRITE - |  |
| 12,2 | AUXDUT | PRINT AUXILIARY AND PARTIAL OUTPUTS |
|  | - PRCSID |  |
|  | - SWRITE |  |
|  | - AXPRNT |  |
|  | - Arccos |  |
|  | PRNSEC |  |
| 12,3 | WPLBT - | WRIte plot data to unit 13 |
| 13 | M13815 | Calculate propeller power effects |
|  | PRPWEF |  |
|  | Angles |  |
|  | zerang |  |
| 14 | M14016 | CALCULATE SUBSONIC LOW ASPECT RATIO Wing and wing-body |
|  | LgARWB | AERODYNAMICS |

TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

table 7 digital datcor overlay description

table 7 digital datcom overlay description

TABLE 7 dIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

TABLE 7 dIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25, 1 | TRANCM <br> TLIN4X <br> WBCMI <br> WBTRAN | CALCULATE WING, WING-BODY $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}}$. . |
| 25, 2 | TRHTCM <br> TLIN4X <br> WBCMI <br> HBTRAN | CALCULATE H.T., H.T.-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}}$ |
| 25,3 | - TRACMO WBCMO TABLEC |  |
| 26 | M26932 <br> HYPBgO <br> TRAPZ | CALCULATE HYYERSONIC BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| 27 | M27033 <br> SUPLNG | CALCULATE SUPERSONIC WING STABILITY data |
|  | M28034 | CALCULATE SUPERSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL AERODYMAMICS |
|  | SUPWBT |  |
|  | BQDOWG <br> ALI |  |

TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

table 7 digital datcor overlay description

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | M34042 | DEFINE NUMBER OF CARDS IN EACH EXPERIMEN*'IL DATA NAMELIST |
|  | XPERNM |  |
| $\cdot$ | TEST |  |
| 35 | M35043 | CALCULATE TRANSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ AND SECOND LEVEL METHODS |
| 35, 1 | SETUP2 | SET-UP FOR SECOND LEVEL METHODS |
|  | CLBCLC |  |
| 35, 2 | WBTRA | CALCULATE TRANSOIIIC WING-BODY-TAIL DATA |
|  | TRAWBT |  |
| 35, 3 | SECLEV | COMPUTE SECOND LEVEL DATA |
|  | WINGCL |  |
|  | WBCLB |  |
|  | BøDgWG |  |
|  | - ALI |  |
|  | WBTCDE |  |
|  | CDWBT |  |
|  | CLWBT |  |
|  | CNCA |  |
| 36 | M36p44 - | Calculate flap lift and hinge moment data |
|  | LIFTFP |  |
|  | HINGE <br> CTABS |  |

TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | M37845 | CALCULATE FLAP PITCHING MOMENTS |
|  | SIMUL4 |  |
|  | TRAPZ |  |
|  | FLAPCM |  |
|  | gDELTA | - |
|  | AGENR |  |
|  | DET4 |  |
| 38 | M38946 | CALCULATE SUBSONIC FLAP DRAG AND TRIM AERODYNAMICS |
|  | TRIMR2 |  |
|  | TRIMRT | . |
|  | DRAGFP. |  |
| 39 | M39947 | PRINT HIGH LIFT AND CONTROL DATA |
|  | QUTPT2 |  |
|  | PRCSID |  |
|  | SWRITE |  |
|  | FLTCL |  |
|  | DUMP2 | . |
|  | DMPARY |  |
| 40 | M40950 | CALCULATE TRANSONIC LATERAL CONTROL/FLAP AERODYNAMICS |
|  | TRNYRL |  |


table 7 dIGItal datcom overlay description

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTIOH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | M43953 | CALCULATE DYNAMIC DERIVATIVES-SUBSONIC, TRANSONIC, SUPERSONIC |
|  | TLIP3X |  |
|  | TLIP2X |  |
|  | - TLIPIX |  |
|  | YUP |  |
|  | CMALPD |  |
|  | SUBPA |  |
|  | SUBPAH |  |
| 43,1 | SUPPAW | - . |
| 43, 2 | SUPCMQ |  |
| 43, 3 | SUPPAH | Calculate h.t. dyiamic derivations |
| 43, 4 | SUPHMQ | CALCULATE H.T. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}}$ derivations |
| 44 | H44054 | calculate supersonic wing "d" derivatives |
|  | ARCSIN | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| . | ILIP3X |  |
|  | TLIP2X |  |
|  | TLIPIX |  |
|  | YUP |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUPCLD } \\ & \text { SL:PHLD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |

table 7 digital datcor overlay description

| OVERLAY | PROGRAM/SUBROUTINE NAME | OVERLAY DESCRIPTIOH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | $\therefore \text { CALCA }$ | CALCULATE WING AND WING-BODY YAW AND ROLL derivatives |
|  | TLIP3X |  |
|  | TLIP2X |  |
|  | ILIPIX Yup: |  |
|  | INTEP3 |  |
| 45, 1 | WINGYW |  |
|  | SUBRYW SUPRYW |  |
| 45. 2 | H@RTYW <br> SUBHYW <br> SUPHIW |  |
| 46 | M46956 | CALCULATE WING-BODY-TAIL DYNAMIC DERIVATIVES |
|  | TRAPZ | - |
|  | PRCSID |  |
|  | dMPARY |  |
|  |  | . - . |
|  | - | - |
|  |  |  |


TABLE 7 dIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION

|  | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { き } \\ & \text { 㐅 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{z} \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ |

table 7 digital datcor overlay description

TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOH OVERLAY JESCRIPTION


TABLE 7 DIGITAL DATCOM OVERLAY DESCRIPTION
OVERLAY DESCRIPTIOH


## TABLE 8 PROGRAM COMMON DECKS

| Deck Name | Overlays $\mathrm{R} \sim$ ferenced |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALI | 7, 10, 20, 28, 35 |
| ANGLES | $2,13,15,16,18$ |
| ARCCDS | 12, 21, 41, 42, 50, 53 |
| ARCSIN | 21, 41, 42, 44 |
| BØDDWG | 7, 10, $20,28,35$ |
| CALCALC | 31, 33 |
| Calcao | 15, 16 |
| CDRAG | 3, 5 |
| CLMXBS | 15, 16 |
| CLMXBI | 24 (Both Secondary Overlays) |
| CMALPH | 31, 33 |
| DFLCCN | 41, 53 |
| DMPARY | 11, 39, 42, 46, 47, 49 |
| EQSPCE | 4, 6 |
| EOSPCl | 4, 6 |
| FIG5 3A | 3, 5 |
| FIG68 | 21, 42 |
| GETMAX | 4, 0, 29 |
| INFTGM | 2, 21 |
| LIFTCF | 15, 16 |
| PRSCID | 12, 39, 42, 46, 47 |
| SETUPI | 2, 18 |
| SIMUL2 | 38, 42, 47 |
| SWRITE | 12, 39 |
| TABLEC | 7, 20, 25 |
| TABLES | 7, 24 |
| TBSUB | 7, 24 |
| TBSUP | 7, 24 |
| TBTRN | 7, 24 |
| TEST | 1, 34 |
| TLIN4X | 17, 25, 26, 52 |
| TLIPIX | 43, 44, 45, 54 |
| TLIP2X | 43, 44, 45, 54 |
| TLIP3X | 43, 44, 45, 54 |
| TRANF | 24 (Both Secondary Overlays) |
| TRAPZ | $4,6,9,19,23,26,29,37,46,47$ |
| WBCDL | 7, 24 |
| WBCMO | 7., 20, 25 |
| WBCM1 | 25 (Both Secondary Overlays) |
| WTGEDM | 2, 18 |
| WTLIFT | 15, 16 |
| YUP | 43, 44, 45, 54 |
| 2ERANG | 1, 2, 13, 18 |

table 9 digital datcor routine description

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGENR | 37 | GENERATES COEFFICIENTS FOR G/\% CALCULATIONS BY GDELTA |
| AIRFgL | 50 | CONTROLLING PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING AIFFOIL GEOMETRY FRUA NACA DESIGNATION |
| ALDLPR | 42 | PRINTS BLANKS WHEN NO COMPUTED VALUES ARE PRESENT |
| ALI | 7,10,20,28,35 | COMPUTES VORTEX INTERFERENCE FACTORS |
| ANGLES | 2,13,15,16,18 | COMPUTES TRIG AND Inverse trig functions of an argument |
| ARCLSS | 2 | CLASSIFIES WING/TAIL PLANFORM AS HIGH OR LOW ASPECT RATIO |
| ARCC@S | ${ }^{12,21,41,42,50} 53-5$ | COMPUTES ARC-COSINE OF AN ARGUMENT JSING STANDARD FORTRAN |
| ARCSIN | 21,41,42,44 | COMPUTES ARC-SINE OF AN ARGUMENT USING STANDARD FORTRAN |
| AREAI | 56 | CALCULATES INCREMENTAL AREAS OF VERTICAL TAIL SHADOWED BY MACH LINE |
| AREA2 | 56 | Calculates Incremental area of body shadowed by mach line |
| ASMINT | 50 | NON-LINEAR INTERPOLATION ROUTINE FOR AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE |
| ATMDS | 1 | COMPUTES PROPERTIES OF 1962 U.S. STANDARD ATMOSPHERE |
| Auxgut | 12 | PRINT AUXILIARY OUTPUTS FOR A CASE |
| AXPRNT | 12 | PRINT AUXILIARY OUTPUTS FOR WING/TAIL FLANFORMS |
| BDAREA | 56 | EXECUTIVE FOR BODY PARTS SHADOWED BY MACH LINE SHADOWING CALCULATIONS |
| BLDCK DATA | 0 | SETS PROGRAM CONSTANTS, AND VARIABLE NAMES FOR C@NERR |
| BøD@PT | 4 | COMPUTES ASYMMETRICAL BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| BgDQUG | 7,10,20,28;35 | COMPUTES BODY VORTEX EFFECTS ON WING |
| BgDYRT | 6 | COMPUTES AXISYMMETRIC BODY $C_{L}, C_{D}, C_{m}$ |
| BODYJM | 6 | COMPUTE BODY AERODYNAMICS USING JOERGENSEN'S METHOD |
| CACALC | .31, 33 | COMPUTES WING $C_{N}, C_{A}$ |
| CALCA | 44 | COMPUTES WING ACCELERATION PARAMETERS ( $¢$ ) |

table 9 digital datcor routine description

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CALCAO | 15, 16 | COMPUTES LIFTING SURFACE $\alpha_{O_{L}}$ |
| CCARD | 1 | CHECK CONTROL CARD FOR LEGAL INPUT |
| CDRAG | 3, 5 | COMPUTES LIFTING SURFACE $C_{D}$ |
| CDWBT | 35 | CALCULATES TRANSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL $C_{\text {d }}$ |
| CHECK | 1 | CHECK MACH REGIME LIMITS AND SET PRINT FLAGS |
| CLBCLC | 35 | CALCULATES TRANSONIC WING AND WING-BODY $C_{\ell_{\beta}}$ AND $C_{\ell_{\beta}} / C_{L}$ |
| CLEARA | 57 | CLEAR STORAGE ARRAYS FOR EXTRAPOLATION MESSAGES |
| CLMCHO | 0 | COMPUTES LIFTING SURFACE $C_{L}$ AT MACH $=0$ |
| CLMXBS | 15, 16 | COmputes lifting surface $\mathrm{C}_{\text {LMiX }}$ |
| CLMXBI | 24 | COMPUTES LIFTING SURFACE $C_{\text {LMAX }}$ AT MACH $=0.6$ |
| CLRDER | 46 | COMPUTES THE CONFIGURATION $\mathrm{C}_{\ell_{r}}$ derivative |
| CLWBT | 35 | COMPUTES TRANSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL C $C_{L}$ |
| CMALPH | 31, 33 | COMPUTES LIFTING SURFACE $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}}$ |
| CMALPD | 43 | COMPUTES LIfTING SURFACE $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}}$ AT MACH=0 |
| CNCA | 35 | CALCULATES $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$ AND $^{C_{A}}$ |
| CONERR | 1. | CONTROLLING PROGRAM FOR InPUT ERROR DIAGNOSTIC ANALYSIS |
| COQRDI | 50 | CALCULATES NACA l-SERIES AIRFOIL COORDINATES |
| CPQRD4 | 50 | CALCSat S |
| CPDRD5 | 50 | CALCULATES NACA 5-DIGIT AIRFOIL COORDINATES |
| CPARD6 | 50 | CALCULATES NACA 6-SERIES AIRFOIL COORDINATES |
| Cardim | 50 | CALCULATES NACA 4-digit modified airfoil coordinates |

TABLE 9 DIGITAL DATCOH ROUTINE DESCRIPTION

| ROUTINE HAME | 0.VERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORD5M | 50 | CAlculates naca 5-digit modified airfoil coordinates |
| CONV | 1 | SET-UP FOR UNITS SPECIFICATION |
| CORDSTP | 50 | CALCULATE GEOMETRY DATA FOR SUPERSONIC AIRTOILS |
| CSLDPE | 50 | COMPUTE GEOMETRIC SLOPE FOR SUPERSONIC AIRFOILS |
| CTABS | 36 | CONTROL TABS METHOD SUBROUTINE |
| DATC®M | 0 | TOP LEVEL EXECUTIVE PROGRAM |
| DECFIG | 57 | CONVERT FIGURE NUMBERS IN EXTRAPOLATION MESSAGES |
| DET4 | 37 | EVALUATES A $4 \times 4$ determinate |
| DECPDE | 50 | DECODES USER INPUT NACA DESIGNATION |
| DELY | 50 | CALCULATES AIRFOIL $\triangle$ Y |
| DFLCDN | 41,53 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC LIFT, ROLL MOMENT AND HINGE MOMENT DERIVATIVES |
| DMPARY | 11,39,42,46,47 | DUMP SPECIFIED ARRAY IN READABLE FORMAT $\quad$ |
|  | 49 |  |
| DNPAWB | 46 | CALCULATES WING-BODY "q" AND "d". DERIVATIVES |
| DNPWBT | 46 | CALCULATES WING-BODY-TAIL "q" AND "¢" DERIVATIVES |
| DPRESR | 21 | Calculates non-viscous dynamic pressure at horizontal tail |
| DRAGFP | 38 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC FLAP INDUCED DRAG |
| DUMPRT | 49 | DUMPS ARRAYS USING DMPARY |
| DUMP2 | 39 | CONTROL FOR PRINTING DUMPS OF INTERMEDIATE RESULTS |
|  | - |  |

table 9 digital datcor routine description

| ROUTIME HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DWASH | 9 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC DOWNWASH AT ANGLE-OF-ATTACK |
| DYNBDD | 46 | CALCULATES BODY DYHAMIC DERIVATIVES |
| DYPRLS | 9 | COMPUTES DYNAMIC PRESSURE AT HORIZONTAL TAIL |
| EQSPCE | 4, 6 | TRANSFORMS 4-DIMENSIONAL ARRAY SO THAT THE 3 INDEPENDENT ARRAYS ARE EQUALLY SPACEC |
| EQSPC1 | 4,6 | TRANSFORMS 2-DIMENSIONAL ARRAY LIKE EQSPCE |
| EXPDAT | 48 | LOADS THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA NAMELIST FOR THE CURRENT MACH NUMBER |
| EXSUBT | 0 | READS EXPERIMEHTAL DATA INPUTS |
| FIG26 | 0 | CALCULATES FIG. 4.1.5.1-26; TURBULEHT SKIN FRICTION COEFFICIENT |
| FIG53A | 3, 5 | CALCULATES FIG. 4.1.5.2-53A; SUBSONIC LEADING EDGE SUCTION |
| FIG68 | 21, 42 | CALCULATES OBLIQUE SHOCK WAVE ANGLE (TR-1135, EQN. 150) |
| FG6115 | 30 | CALCULATES FIG. 4.6.1-15; DOWNWASH INCREMENT DUE TO A SUBSONIC JET IN A SUBSONIC STREAM |
| FLAPCM | 37 | COMPUTES WING $C_{m}$ dUE TO FLAPS |
| FLTCL | 39 | PRINT DATA FOR TRIM CONDITIONS |
| GDELTA | 37 | CALCULATES FLAP SPANWISE LOADING COEFFICIENT, G/ $\delta$ |
| GETMAX | 4, 6, 29 | FOR $Y=f(X)$, FIND $Y_{\text {MAX }}$ AND $X_{\text {Ymax }}$ |
| GLagK | 0 | TABLE LOOKUP LOGIC FOR TLIN_ L R ROUTINES |
| GRDEFF | 11 | COMPUTES GROUND EFFECTS ON AERODYNAMICS |
| HBTRAN | 25 | CALCULATES $\left(C_{L_{\alpha}}\right)_{B(H)}$ AND $\left(X_{a c} / \bar{C}_{r}\right)$ AT MACH=1.4 FOR TRANSONIC ANALYSIS |
| HEADR | 12 | WRITE HEADINGS FOR CASE OUTPUTS |

table 9 digital datiom routine description

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HINGE | 36 | Calculates flap hinge moment data |
| HORTYW | 45 | EXECUTIVE FOR HORIZONTAL-TAIL, HORIZONTAL-TAIL-BODY YAW DERIVATIVE CALCULATIONS |
| HYPBDD | 26 | COMPUTES HYPERSONIC $C_{D}, C_{L}, C_{m}$ |
| HYPFLP | 42 | COMPUTES HYPERSONIC FLAP CONTROL AERODYNAMICS |
| HYPRQP | 42 | CALCULATES EQUILIBRIUM REAL GAS FLOW PROPERTIES |
| IDEAL | 50 | CALCULATES AIRFOIL SECTION IDEAL AERODYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS |
| INFTGM | 2, 21 | CALCULATES DOWNWASH SYNTHESIZING DIMENSIONS |
| INITZE | 1 | PROGRAM INITIALIZIHG ROUTINE |
| INITZI | 51 | InItIALIZE ARRAYS FOR PROGRAM USE |
| -INITZ2 | 51 | INITIALIZE ARRAYS FOR HIGH-LIFT AND CONTROL |
| INIZ | 50 | INITIALIZE ARRAYS FOR AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE |
| INPUT | 1 | READS INPUT NAMELISTS |
| INPUTL | 1 | READS NAMELIST "LARWB" FOR INPUT |
| INPUT2 | 1 | READS HORIZONTAL TAIL NAMELISTS FOR INPUT |
| INPUT3 | 1 | READS VERTICAL TAIL NAMELISTS FOR INPUT |
| INPUT4 | 1. | READS VENTRAL FIN NAMELISTS FOR InPUT |
| INTEP3 | 45 | tabel lookup routine for a specific table |
| INTERM | 12 | InTERMEDIATE LOGIT FOR OUTPUT |
| INTERX | 0 | LINEAR TABLE LOOKUP USING TLIN_X RCUTINES, 2 TO 5 dimensions |
| INTER3 | 47 | table lookup routine for a specific table |

table 9 digital daicily routine description

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERM | 12 | Intermediate logic for output |
| INTERX | 0 - | LINEAR TABLE LOOKUP USING TLIN_X ROUTINES, 2 TO 5 dimensions |
| INTER3 | 47 | table lookup routine for a specific table |
| JLTFP | 55 | COMPUTES AERODYNAMIC INCREMENTS DUE TO JET FLAPS |
| JETPWE | 30 | COMPUTES EFFECTS OF JET POWER ON AERODYNAMICS |
| LATFLP | 52 | SUBSONIC LATERAL CONTROL/FLAP EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATIONS |
| LIFTCF | 15, 16 | COMPUTES LIFTING SURFACE $C_{L}$ |
| LIFTfP | 36 | COMPUTES INCREMENTAL WING LIFT DUE TO FLAPS |
| LQARWB | 14 | COMFUTES LOW ASPECT-RATIO WING-BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| LVALUE | 1 | TEST FOR LEGAL LOGICAL CONSTANTS AND MULTIPLICATION FACTOR FOR INPUT |
| MACH2 | 21 | CALCULATE PRANDTL-MEYER EXPANSION ANGLE |
| MAINOO | 0 | DATCOM PROGRAM TOP-LEVEL EXECUTIVE |
| MAINOI | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR SUESONIC AERODYNAMICS |
| MAINO2 | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR SUBSONIC GROUND EFFECTS |
| MAIN03 | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR TRANSONIC AERODYNAMICS |
| MAINO4 | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR SUPERSONIC AERODYNAMIICS |
| MAIN05 | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR SUBSGNIC HIGH LIFT AND CONTROL ANALYSIS |
| MAIN06 | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR TRANSONIC HIGH LIFT AND CONTROL ANALYSIS |
| MAIN07 | 0 | PROGRAM CONTROL FOR SUPERSONIC HIGF LIFT AND CONTROL ANALYSIS |
| MAJERR | 1 | CHECKS FOR MISSING ESSENTIAL NAMELISTS |

TABLE 9 DIGITAL DATCOH ROUTINE DESCRIPTION

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MASRAT | 23 | FINDS APPARENT MASS RATIO K, FIGURE 5.3.1.1-25 |
| MAXCL | 50 | FINDS $\mathrm{C}_{\text {¢ MAX }}$ FOR AIRFOIL SECTION |
| MESSGE | 0 | PRINTS TA3LE LOOKUP ROUTINE EXTRAPOLATION MESSAGES |
| MO1001 | 1 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 1, INITIALIZE PROGRAM AND PROCESS INPUTS |
| M02902 | 2 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 2, CALCULATE GEOMETRIES AND SYNTHESIS DATA |
| M03003 | 3 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 3, SUBSONIC WING DRAG |
| M04ø04 | 4 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 4, SUBSONIC ASYMMETRIC BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| M05ฎ05 | 5 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 5, SUBSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL DRAG |
| M06806 | 6 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 6, SUBSONIC AXIS YMAETRIC BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| M07ø07. | 7 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 7. SUBSONIC WING, WING-BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| M08plo | 8 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 8, SUBSONIC VERTICAL TAIL DRAG |
| M09@11 | 9 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 9, SUBSONIC WING FLOW FIELDS |
| M10912 | 10 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 10, SUBSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL AERODYNAMICS |
| M11813 | 11 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 11, GROUND EFFECTS |
| M12014 | 12 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 12, PRINT OUTPUTS |
| M13015 | 13 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 13, PROPELLER POWER EFFECTS |
| M14816 | 14 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 14, LOW ASPECT RATIO AERODYNAMICS |
| H15017 | 15 | EXECLTIIVE FOR OVERLAY 15, SUBSONIC WING LIFT |
| M16p20 | 16 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 16, SUBSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL LIFT |
| H17021 | 17 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 17, SUBSONIC LATERAL STABILITY |

táble 9 digital datcon routine description

| ROUTINE LAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M18122 | 18 | EXECUİIVE FOR OVERLAY 18, SUPERSONIC WING DRAG |
| M19p23 | 19 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 19, SUPERSONIC BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| M20024 | 20 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 20, SUPERSONIC WING-BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| M21925 | 21 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 21, SUPERSONIC WING FLOW-FIELDS |
| M22026 | 22 | EXECUTİVE FOR OVERLAY 22, SUPERSONIC HORIZONTAL-TAIL AERODYNAMICS |
| M23027 | 23 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 23, SUPEPSONIC LATERAL STABILITY |
| M24030 | 24 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 24, TRANSONIC WING AERODYNAMICS AND BODY STABILITY DATA |
| M25831 | 25 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 25, TRANSONIC WING/WING-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{m_{\alpha}}$ |
| M26832 | 26 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLȦY 26, hYPERSONIC BODY AERODYNAMICS |
| M27933 | 27 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 27, SUPERSONIC WING STABILITY |
| H28934 | 28 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 28, SUPERSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL AERODYNAMICS |
| M29@35 | 29 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 29, LATERAL STABILITY GEOMETRY DATA |
| M30936 | 30 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 30, JET POWER EFFECTS |
| M31037 | 31 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 31, SUBSONIC WING $C_{m}$, BODY $C_{n}, C_{N}$ |
| M32040 | 32 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 32, supersonic vertical tail lift |
| M33041 | 33 | EXECLTIVE FOR OVERLAY 33, SUBSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| M34042 | 34 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 34, DEFINE EXPERIMENTAL DATA INPUT |
| M35043 | 35 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERI.AY 35, TRANSONIC AERODYNAMICS |
| M36844 | 36 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 36, flap LIft and hinge moments |
| M37845 | 37 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 37, FLAP PITCHING MOMENTS |

TABLE 9 digital datcon routine description

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ROUTINE } \\ \text { HAME } \end{gathered}$ | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M38846 | 38 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 38, SUBSONIC FLAP DRAG AND TRIM AERODYNAMICS |
| M39847 | 39 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 39, PRINT HIGH LIFT AND CONTPNL DATA |
| M40950 | 40 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 40, TRANSONIC LATERAL CONTROL/FLAP AERODYNAMICS |
| M41951. | 41 | EXFCUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 41, SUPERSONIC HIGH LIft and Control aerodynamics |
| M41052 | 42 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 42, HYPERSONIC FLAP AERODYNAMICS |
| M42953. | 43 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 43, DYMAMIC DERIVATIVES |
| M43954 | 44 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 44, SUPERSOHIC WING "a" DERIVATIVES |
| M45955 | 45 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 45, WING AND WING-BODY. YAW AND ROLL DERIVATIVES |
| M46956 | 46 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 46, WING-BODY-TAIL DYNAMIC DERIVATIVES |
| M47857 | 47 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 47, TRANSVERSE-JET AEROUYNAMICS |
| M48860 | 48 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 48, LOAD EXPERIMENTAL DATA FOR MACH NUMBER |
| M49961 | 49 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 49, dump arrays |
| M50962 | 50 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVErLay 50, AIrforl section aerodynamics |
| M51963 | 51 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 51, INITIALIZE ARRAYS |
| M52964 | 52 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 52, SUBSONIC LATERAL CONTROL/FLAP AERODYNAMICS |
| M53965 | 53 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 53, SUPERSONIC TRAILING EDGE FLAP ROLL AND YAW AERODYNAMICS |
| M54966 | 54 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 54, SUPERSONIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}^{+}}$ |
| M55967 | 55 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 55, JET Flap aerodynamics |
| M56870 | 56 | EXECUTIVE FOR OVERLAY 56, MACH SHADOWING DATA |

tagle 9 digital datcoh rouitme deschiption

table 9 digital d.atcor routine description

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUAD | 0 | COMPUTES PARAMETERS FOR QUADRATIC EXTRAPOLATION |
| RVALUE | 1 | TEST IF REAL VALUE IS LEGAL InPut |
| SDWASH | 21 | COMPUTES $\partial \varepsilon / \partial \mathrm{c}$ AND VISCOUS $q / q_{\infty}$ AT THE HORIZONTAL TAIL |
| SECI | 50 | READ AIRFOIL SECTION INPUTS |
| SECLEV | 35 | COMPUTES SECOND Level method module data |
| SECD | 50 | SET AIRFOIL SECTION MODULE OUTPUTS IN INPUT NAMELIST ARrAYS |
| SETUP1 | 2, 18 | COMPUTES TPIG FUNCTIONS FOR LIFTING SURFACES |
| SETUP2 | 35 | SETUP FOR TRANSONIC CONFIGURATION ANALYSIS |
| SIMUL2 | 38, 42, 47 | ŞOLVES FOR WHERE TWO CURVES. INTERSECT |
| SIMUL 4 | 37 | SOLVES 4 SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS USING DETERMINATES |
| SLEQ | 50 | SOLVES $N$ SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS USING THE GAUSS-JORDAN METHOD |
| SLOPE | 50 | CALCULATES AIRFOIL SECTION $\mathrm{C}_{\ell_{\alpha}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{0}}$ AND $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}_{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\text {. }}$ |
| SORTER | 57 | SORT EXTRAPOLATION MESSAGES BY FIGURE NUMBER |
| SPRYAW | 53 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC ROLL AND YAW CHARACTERISTICS OF PLAIN T.E. FLAPS, SPOILERS AND DIFFERENTIALLY DELETED STABILIZERS |
| SSHING | 41 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC HINGE MOMENT DERIVATIVES |
| SSSYM | 41 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC $\triangle C_{L}$ AND $\Delta C_{m}$ FOR HIGH-LIFT AND CONTROL DEVICES |
| StgrxM | 57 | Store extrapolation message data |
| SUBHYW | 45 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL AND HORIZONTAL TAIL-BODY "p" AND "r" DERIVATIVES |
| SUBLAT | 17 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC AND TRANSONIC LATERAL Stability derivatives |
| SUBPAH | 43 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC AND TRANSONIC " $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}$ AND " $\alpha$ " DERIVATIVES FOR H.t. |
| SUBPAW | 43 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC ȦND TRANSONIC "q" AND " $\dot{\alpha}$ " DERIVATIVES FUR WING |
| SLCERYW | 45 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC WING AND WONG-BODY "p" AND "r" DERIVATIVES |

TABLE 9 DIGITAL DATCOM ROUTINE DESCRIPTION

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTINE } \\ & \text { HAME } \end{aligned}$ | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBWBT | 46 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL "p" AND "r" DERIVATIVES |
| SUPBøD | 19 | CALCULATES SUPERSOHIC BODY $C_{L}, C_{D}, C_{m}, C_{L_{\alpha}}$, AND $C_{M_{\alpha}}$ |
| SUPCLD | 44 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\alpha}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| SUPCMD | 54 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$. |
| SUPCMO | 20 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC CONFIGURATION C |
| SUPCMQ | 43 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}}$ |
| SUPDRG | 18 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| SUPHB | 20 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL-BODY $\mathrm{r}_{L}, C_{\text {c }}, C_{L}$ AND $C_{m_{\alpha}}$ |
| SUPHLD | 43 | CALCULATE $C_{L \dot{\alpha}}$ FOR SUPERSONIC HORIZONTAL TAILS |
| SUPHMD | 54 | CALCULATE $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{M}_{\alpha}}$ FOR SUPERSONIC HORIZONTAL TAILS |
| SUPHMQ | 43 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC H.T. $\mathrm{C}_{\text {mq }}$ |
| SUFHYW | 45 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL AND HORIZONTAL-TAIL BODY "p" AND "r" DERIVATIVES |
| SUPLAF | 23 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC VENTRAL FIN LATERAL STABILITY derivatives |
| SUPLAH | 23 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVES FOR HORIZONTAL TAILS |
| SUPLAT | 23 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVES FOR WINGS |
| SUPLAV | 23 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC VERTICAI. TAIL LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVES |
| SUPLNG | 27 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING $C_{L}, C_{L \alpha}$ AND $C_{m_{\alpha}}$ |
| SUPLTG | 22 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC HORIZONTAL TAIL $C_{L}, C_{\text {L } \alpha}$ AND $C_{m \alpha}$ |
| SUPPAH | 43 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC H.T. $\mathrm{C}_{\text {L }}$ |
| SUPPAW | 43 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{L_{\text {g }}}$ |

TABLE 9 DIC.ITAL DATCOH ROUTINE DESCRIPTION

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ROUTINE } \\ \text { HAME } \end{gathered}$ | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUPRYW | 45 | CC: ~!LATES SUPERSONIC WING AND WING-BODY "p" AND "r" DERIVATIVES |
| SUPWB | 20 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING-BODY $C_{L}, C_{D}, C_{L}$ AND $C_{m}$ |
| SUPWBT | 28 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL AERODYNAMICS |
| SWITCH | 0 | SETS LOGIC FOR ASCENDINia OR DESCENDING ARRAYS FOR TLIN_X ROUTINES |
| SWRITE | 12, 39 | CONTROLS NUMERIC OUTPUTS FOR OUTPUT; WRITES BLANKS, NA OR HDM |
| SYNDIM | 2 | CALCULATES SYNTHESIS DIMENSIONS FOR BODY ANALYSIS |
| TABLEC | 7, 20, 25 | REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS FOR WBCMO |
| TABLES | 7,24 | READ MACH TABLES OF C EqUATION REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS |
| TBFUNX | 0 | TABLE LOOKUP FOR $Y=f(\mathrm{X})$; PROVIDES $\mathrm{dY} / \mathrm{dX}$ |
| TBSUB | 7, 24 | SUBSONIC C $\mathrm{C}_{\text {d }}$ REGRESSION COEFFICIENT TABLES |
| TBSUP | 7, 24 | SUPERSONIC $C_{D}$ REGRESSION COEFFICIENT TABLES |
| TBTRN | 7, 24 | TRAASONIC C $C_{D}$ REGRESSION COEFFICIENT TABLES |
| TEST | 1,34 | NAMELIST NAME CHECKING PERFORMED IN INPUT |
| TEST®R | 1 | CHECK If NAMELIST NAME IS LEGAL InPUT USING NMTEST |
| THEßRY | 50 | maill logic routine for calculating airfoil section aerodynamics |
| TLINEX | 0 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR $Y=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{k} 2)$ |
| TLINVS | 30 | INTERPOLATES BETWEEN TABLES FOR FG6115 |
| Tlinix | 0 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR $\gamma=f(x)$ |
| TLIN3X | 0 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR $\gamma=f(x), x 2, x 3)$ |
| TLIN4X | 17,25,26,52 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR $Y=f(x 1, x 2, x 3, x 4$ ) |
| TLIPIX | 43,44,45,54 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR A PACKED TABLE FOR $Y=f(x)$ |
| TLIP2X | 43,44,45,54 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR A PACKED TABLE FOR $\gamma=f(x), x 2)$ |
| TLIP3X | 43,44,45,54 | LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR A PACKED TABLE FOR $Y=f(x), x 2, x 3)$ |

table 9 digital datcom routine description

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROLTINE } \\ & \text { HAME } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OVERLAYS } \\ \text { REFEREHCED } \end{gathered}$ | UESCRIPTIO: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRACMO | 25 | EXECUTIVE TRANSONIC B-W OR B-H $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{0}}$ |
| tranac | 25 | computes transonic planform $C_{L}$ by non-linear interpolation |
| tranco | 24 | Calculates transonic wing and hing-body $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| TRANCM | 25 | calculates transonic wing and wing-body $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| tranf | 24 | computes transonic ventral fin $\mathrm{C}_{\text {L }}$ by non-Linear interpolation |
| tranhb | 24 | EXECUTIVE FOR TRSQNJ CALCULATIONS |
| tranjt | 47 | hYpersonic transverse jet sizing calculations |
| trankb | 24 | EXECUTIVE FOR TRSGNI CALCULATIONS |
| trankg | 24 | CALCULATES WİGG $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ AT $M=1.4$ FOR TRSONI |
| TRAPZ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,6,7,9,19,23, \\ & 26,29,37,46,47 \end{aligned}$ | Trapezoidal rulė integration routine |
| tranbt | $35 \cdots$ | CALCULATES WING-BODY-TAIL $\partial \varepsilon / \partial \alpha, 9 / q_{\infty}$ ARID $C_{L}{ }_{\alpha}$ TRANSONICALLY |
| trhtcm | 25 |  |
| TRIMRT | 38 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC TRIM WITH WING OR HORIZ |
| TRIMR2 | 38 | calculates subsonic trim with an all movable horizental tal |
| TRIHT | 24 | CALCULATES HORIZONTAL TAIL $\mathrm{C}_{L_{\alpha}}$ AT MACH=1.4 FOR TRS $\mathrm{N}^{\text {a }}$, |
| TRNYRL | 40 | TRANSONIC LATERAL CONTROL/FLAP EFFECTIVENESS CALCULATIONS Wing and |
| trspni | 24 | CALCULATES TRANSOHIC WING $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\alpha}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\text {MAX }}}$, ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\text {MAX }}}$; BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}_{\alpha}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{\alpha}}$; WING AND WING-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}_{0}}$ |
| trsonj | 24 | uses method of trsgni, but calculates using horizontal tail |

TABLE 9 DIGITAL DATCOM ROUTINE DESCRIPTION

| ROUTINE HAME | OVERLAYS REFERENCED | UESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VFCDD | 20 | CALCULATES VENTRAL FIN $\mathrm{CD}_{0}$ |
| YFDRAG | 8 | CALCULATES VENTRAL FIN DRAG |
| VFLIFT | 32 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC-VENTRAL FIN $\mathrm{C}_{\text {La }}$ |
| vname | 1 | CHECK IF variable name is correct for input |
| VRTCD® | 20 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC VERTICAL TAIL $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}_{0}}$ |
| vtarea | 56 | EXECUTIVE FOR VERTICAL TAIL AREA SHADOWED BY MACH LINE CALCULATIONS |
| VTDRAG | 8 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC VERTICAL TAIL CDO |
| VTLIFT | 32 | CALCULATES SUPERSONIC VERTICAL TAIL $\mathrm{CL}_{\alpha}$ |
| WBAER ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7 | EXECUTIVE CCNTROL FOR WING-BODY AND HORIZONTAL TAIL BODY $C_{L}, C_{D}$ AND $C_{m}$ |
| WBCD | 7 | EXECUTIVE CONTROL FOR WING-BODY AND HORIZONTAL TAIL BODY $C_{\text {d }}$ |
| WBCDL | 7. 24 | CALCULATES THE WING-BODY/HORIZONTAL TAIL BODY ${ }^{\text {C }}$ DL |
| WBCLB | -35 | CALCULATES TRAISONIC WING-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\ell_{B}}$ |
| HBCil | 7 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC WING-BODY $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| WBCMO | 7, 20, 25 | CALCULATES $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}_{0}}$ FOR WING-BODIES USING REGRESSION METHOD |
| WBCM1 | 25 | CALCULATES $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{0} / \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{r}}$ FOR WING-BODIES |
| WBDRAG | 7 | CALCULATES SUBSOMIC WING-BODY $C_{\text {d }}$ |
| WBLIFT | 7 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC WING-BODY $C_{L}$ |
| WBTCDP | 35 | CALCULATES TRANSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL $C_{D_{0}}$ |
| WBTRA | 35 | CALCULATES TRANSONIC WING BODY $C_{D_{L}}$ |
| WBTRAN | 25 | CALCULATES $\left(C_{L_{\alpha}}\right)_{B(W)}$ AND $\left(X_{a c} / \bar{c}_{r}\right)_{B(W)}$ AT MACH=1.4 FOR TRANSONIC ANALYSIS |
| WBTAIL | 10 | CALCULATES SUBSONIC WING-BODY-TAIL AERODYNAMICS |
| WIMGCL | 35 | CALCULATES TRANSONIC WING C $C_{L}$ |
| WINGYH | 45 | MAIf LOGIC FOR WING YAW DAMPING DERIVATIVES |
| WGEOTL | 10 | Calculates subsonic wing vortex interference effects on horizontal tail |

table 9 digital dation -routine description

table 10 CONTROL DATA BLOCKS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMYON } \\ & \text { BLOCK } \end{aligned}$ | VARIABLE - NAME | USE/PURPOSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| overly | NLDG | NUMBER OF LOGICAL VARIABLES IN COMMON BLOCK FLOLDG TO BE INITIALIZED FALSE |
|  | NMACH | NUMBER MACH NUMBERS |
|  | I | MACH NUMBER INDEX |
|  | NALPHA | NUMBER OF ANGLES OF ATTACK |
|  | IG | HAS SEVERAL USES: <br> (1) GROUND HEIGHTS INDEX <br> (2) Initialization switch overlay 51. if 1, initialize iom and COMPUTATIONAL BLOCKS, <br> If 2, INITIALIZE FOR FLAP ANALYSIS <br> IF 3, INITIALIZE FOR POWER ANALYSIS |
|  | NF | HAS SEVERAL USES: <br> (1) FLAP DEFLECTION INDEX <br> (2) IF NEGATIVE, "TURNS-OFF" EXTRAPOLATION MESSAGES <br> (3) FOR TRANSONIC ANALYSIS, LOOP INDEX. IF $\geq-5$, GET SUBSONIC AERO IF -6 OR -7, GET SUPERSONIC AERO <br> (4) If NEGATIVE BYPASS READING EXPERIMENTAL DATA INPUTS |
|  | LF | SET TO 1 IN OVERLAY 23 TO PRINT MESSAGE THAT H.T. IS OFF BODY AND NO LAT.-STAB PARAMETERS CALC. |
|  | K | ALTITUDE INDEX |
|  | NGVL. | CURRENT EXECUTING OVERLAY NUMBER |
| CASEID | IDCASE (74) | CHARACTERS OF CASE TITLE INPUT USING "CASEID" |
|  | KOUNT | NUMBER OF NAMELISTS READ (MAX. 300) |
|  | NAMSV (100) | ORDER OF NAMELISTS SAVED FROM PREVIOUS CASE |

TABLE 10 CONTROL DATA BLOCKS

| COMMON <br> BLOCK | VARIABLE NAME | USE/PURPOSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPER | IDIM <br> KLIST <br> NLIST (100) <br> NNAMES <br> IMACH <br> MDATA <br> KBDDY <br> KWING <br> KHT <br> KVT <br> KWB <br> KDWASH (3) <br> ALPDW <br> ALPLW <br> ALPDH <br> ALPLH <br> FLTC <br> DPTI. <br> BD <br> WGPL | ```DIMENSIONAL SYSTEM USED \(\quad 1=\mathrm{FT}, 2=\mathrm{IN}, 3=\mathrm{M}\); or \(4=\mathrm{CM}\). NUMBER OF \$EXPR - NAMELISTS (100 MAX) NUMBER CARDS READ FOR EACH \$EXPR -- AND MAC̈H NUMBER FOR NAMELIST NUMBER \$EXPR -- CARDS PRESENT MACH HUMBER INDEX OF CURREIT \$EXPR READ TRUE IF \$EXPR DATA FOR MACH NUMBER TRUE IF BODY EXPERIMENTAL INPUTS TRUE IF WING EXPERIMENTAL INPUTS TRUE IF H.T. EXPERIMENTAL INPUTS TRUE IF V.T. EXPERIMENTAL INPUTS TRUE IF WING-BODY EXPERIMENTAL INPUTS TRUE IF (1) \(d \varepsilon / d a\), OR (2) \(\varepsilon O R(3) q / q_{\infty}\) TRUE IF \({ }^{\alpha_{0}}{ }_{w}\) EXPERIMENTAL INPUT TRUE IF \(\alpha_{w}{ }^{*}\) EXPERIMENTAL INPIT TRUE IF \(\propto o_{H}\) EXPERIMENTAL INPUT TRUE IF \(a_{H^{*}}{ }^{\text {EXPERIMENTAL }}\) INPUT TRUE IF \$FLTCQN PRESENT \$DPTIN \$BDDY TRUE IF \$WGPLNF PRESENT``` |

TABLE 10 CONTROL DATA BLOCKS
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[^0]:    L2-SECONO LEVEL mEThODS. OVERLAY 35

[^1]:    ${ }^{*}{ }^{\circ} 0_{0}{ }_{\text {owbt }}$ IS AVAILABLE FFOM THE SECOND LEVEL ROUTINE OF CATCOM, SECTION 4.5.3.1, SUB ROUTINE WBTCDゆ.

